AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
401	Central Division	CHPT17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		11/06/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION	oformal Fuel vetice	SUSPENSE DATE	ė,			
	nformal Evaluation	CONTINUEDIO DELIENT	<i>f:</i> /	DATE		
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes No	Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	1/11/	1/19	109	
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT		Yes //	No No	CORRECTED		
Does the command emp incidence of injuries incu	hasize importance of proper enforce rred by officers?	ement tactics to achieve t	he lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Does the commande	er stress importance of proper enfor	rcement tactics, including	use of force?	✓ Yes	□No	
(2) Does the safety reco	ord of the command reflect an awar	eness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No	
(3) Do the officers' CHP safety?						
b. Are the commander and proper use of force, and	lieutenants knowledgeable of enfor the correct use of safety equipment	rcement tactics, physical r t?	methods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Is this knowledge ap	plied properly in critiques of incider	nts involving officers and	sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No	
(2) Do the captain and li	eutenants maintain a minimum leve	el of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No	
(a) Do they attend o	officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No	
(b) If they are not in	volved in officer safety, what are th	ne reasons?				
	19					
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICA	ATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
a. Do training records indica	ate formal training has been receive	ed and certified?		✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Do records reflect ar tactics, physical metl certifications been re	nnual certification of traffic officers a nods of arrest, and the proper use o corded for:	and sergeants for proficie of safety equipment (use	ncy in enforcement of force)? Have			
(a) Searching techn	iques.			✓ Yes	□No	
(b) Handcuffing.		ii ii		✓ Yes	□No	
(c) Use of safety eq	uipment.	,		✓ Yes	□No	
(d) Suspect control.				✓ Yes	□No	
(e) High risk and fel	ony stops.			✓ Yes	□No	
(f) Hostage control.				✓ Yes	□No	
(g) Prisoner transpo	ortation.			✓ Yes	□No	
(h) Radio control he	ad operation.			Yes	□No	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP	453S	(Rev.	6-06)	OPI	009

	_						
	(2)	ls ti	the command dedicating enough time toward	training?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for c	officers and sergeants are cu	rrent?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure and sergeants?	to assure timely recertification	n of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area	a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, general observations to determine if proper e	pursuit investigations, person enforcement tactics are being	nnel complaints, and used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	e well-handled incidents recorded for future tra	aining purposes?	×	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		e use of force situations closely reviewed to as d what level of force, is justified?	scertain if all uniformed perso	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118 being made?	Bs, and citizen complaints ind	icate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers whis made available?	no are not proficient and ensu	ure refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls r	efres	sher training required prior to certification?			✓ Yes	□No□
	(1)	Are	e the number of training hours necessary to a	ccomplish certification indicat	ted on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparen	nt?		☐ Yes	✓ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken categories?	to assure thorough and cont	inuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es the	e command have an adequate number of ins	structors?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	nstructor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	s an individual been given responsibility for th	ne program?		✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and I	level of proficiency is maintai	ned?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	(3) Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training?				✓ Yes	∐ No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training be	eing given? Division will be	e holding their 2009 OST da	ys in Novemb	er.
	(5)	Hav	ve the supervisor and his/her alternate receiv	ed proper training?	ACTION REQUIRED	☐ Yes	✓ No
SA	FET	TY EC	QUIPMENT	Yes	Yes		
а.			resin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) ca a duty, in uniform?	arried by all uniformed persor	nnel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets when OC spray is utilized to subdue a subject?						✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Whe	en an officer is assaulted and an injury occur spray on the CHP 121?	s, are the supervisors noting	the use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)						

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

Ol II	4333 (Nev. 0-00) OF1 003		
	(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
b	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
e.	Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐Yes	□No
	(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	☐Yes	□No
f.	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	Yes	☑ No
	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	□No
4. F	REARMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes Yes	CORRECTE	5
a.	Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	√ Yes	□No
	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
b.	Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	Yes	☑ No
	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	Yes	✓ No
	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	Yes	☑ No
C.	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

C	l. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	☑ No
e	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_				
	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
=	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
		here a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not olved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
	i. If Aı	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? $ m N/A$		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
	j. Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	Yes	☑ No
5.	PHYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes No	CORRECTED	
	a. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
ı	b. We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Punches.	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Strikes.	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Blocks.	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	✓ Yes	□No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	✓ Yes	□No
		<u> </u>		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

С	W	ere o	observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		an officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who cooperative?	is standing, kneelin	g, prone, or	☑ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are	e all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmenta	policy on handcuff	ing?	✓ Yes	□No
d	. Ar	e all	persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensi	ve weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Ha	as the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review	ved?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)) Ha	as a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thoro	n observed?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)) Do	all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	opposite sex as ou	ıtlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	NFO	RCE	MENT TACTICS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а			geants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures ive options of an enforcement stop?	which should be fo	ollowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.			cers have a constant awareness of their personal safety cending suspected or known criminals?	luring enforcement	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' abilit the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?					s' ability to safely control	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is the violator stop effectively made?			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is the violator completely controlled?			✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			Yes	□No
C.		there uatior	evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agns?	encies to prepare b	peat officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction?						□No
	(2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?						□No
	(3)	Are egre	✓ Yes	□No			
	(4)		ere various officers and supervisors questioned to determentage incidents?	ine their knowledge	e of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
7. PI	JRS	UITS		Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	0
a.	Are	e all u	uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the c	1 AV2-602	1005-00	✓ Yes	□No
			mber of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		en to discontinue?		= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Wei	re pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits or in policy?	comply with enforce	ement guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
			Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective acti	ons taken?		✓ Yes	□No
			e Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

(1) Are any written agreements on file?	Are any written agreements on file?					
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?			☐Yes	☑ No		
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training	guide tailored to the specific ne	eds of the command?	☐ Yes	☑ No		
8. FORCIBLE STOPS	EVALUATED Yes	No No	CORRECTED			
a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the p	oolicy on forcible stops?	G .	✓ Yes	□No		
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?		ec.	✓ Yes	□No		
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for c	✓ Yes	□No				
(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complic conducted?	ed with, has corrective action be	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No		
9. ROADBLOCKS	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)			
 Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develor of the hollow spike strip? 	pp plans for establishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No		
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignment	ts outlined?		☐ Yes	✓ No		
(2) Have the officers received instructions on the	proper methods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No		
(3) Have interagency training sessions been cond	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?					
10. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE			
a. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio con	ntrol head?		✓ Yes	□No		
b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio	from their home Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No		
c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equip	oment from the radio head?			□No		

- 3(d) and 3(d)(1) Officers carry the ASP.
- 4(b)(2) Records indicate numerous officers are behind on range shoots.
- 4(b)(3) Records indicate no night shoots are being conducted.
- 4(e)(1)(b) Shotgun inspections are behind.
- 4(e)(2)(b) Rifle inspections are behind.
- 4(i)(1) N/A
- 4(j) Primary firearms inspections are behind.
- 4(j)(1) Primary firearm 6 month field strips are behind.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:

Command: Central Div.	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17497		Date: 11/06/2009	

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, contrype of Inspection Type of Inspection Division Level Executive Office Level	Inspection documents and the control of the control	on number. Under "Forward to:" e Int shall be utilized to document in	nter the nex novative pra dum may be	in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter tevel of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required. Corrective Action Plan Included Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: Yes No Chapter Inspection: 17	Forwa	ate:		
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding I	nnovative Practices:		
			a.	

N/A

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command: Division: Central		Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17497		Date: 11/06/2009	

The Area does not conduct night shoots which are required by departmental policy.

The Area is delinquent in the completion of uniformed employee's CHP 311 inspections.

The Area is not conducting periodical ammunition audits.

The Area is behind on its weapons (shotgun, rifle, and pistol) annual inspections, biennial inspections, and/or quarterly inspections.

ETRS shooting records indicate inaccuracies (i.e. there are multiple entries for the same shoot) in the employees file.

There are many uniformed employees who are delinquent on range shoots for the year.

The HEAT unit conducts range shoots separate from the regular Division range days. Those shoots are not being recorded into ETRS which reflects the unit's officers are delinquent in shoots.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Central Div.	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date:
D. Torres, 174	.97	11/06/2009

Page 3 of 3		
Commander's Response: ⊠ Concur or [Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall doc	ument basis for response)
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non cetc.)	concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revis	sed, findings unchanged,
85	75.	
		N.
16		
Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		
Central Division will address each of the duntil completed, Administrative Services Uprogress.	leficiencies identified in this inspection. Jnit (ASU) management and supervisio	On a quarterly basis, on will report on
	8	
Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	11/19/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	11/20/09

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT	
Dana 4 of 0	

Page 4 of 3

Command: Central Div.	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174	.97	Date: 11/06/2009

Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee	DRA	12/1/26
_l Concur	m 1. 1211	12/471

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
420 BALLERSFIELD	Central	CHPT 17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		08/04/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

	OF EVALUAT		mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATÉ			
FOLL	OW-UP REQL		Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	JACTION REQUIRED	DATE /O	-15-0
1. C	OMMAN	D INVOLVEMENT		YES	NO		
а		he command emphasi: nce of injuries incurred	ze importance of proper enforcen by officers?	nent tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do	es the commander str	ress importance of proper enforce	ement tactics, including us	e of force?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do	es the safety record o	f the command reflect an awaren	ess of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
	, ,	the officers' CHP 100 fety?	and CHP 118s, Performance Ap	praisals, contain commen	ts on officer	✓ Yes	□No
b.			enants knowledgeable of enforce correct use of safety equipment?	ement tactics, physical me	hods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) ls	this knowledge applied	d properly in critiques of incidents	involving officers and ser	geants?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do	the captain and lieute	enants maintain a minimum level	of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Do they attend office	er safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	If they are not involv	ed in officer safety, what are the	reasons?			
2. TI	RAINING	AND CERTIFICATIO	N	VES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Do troi:						□ No
	וומוז טע	ning records indicate fo	ormal training has been received	and certified?	9	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1) Do tac	records reflect annual	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of a	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes	∐ NO
	(1) Do tac cer	records reflect annual tics, physical methods	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of led for:	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do tac cer	records reflect annual tics, physical methods tifications been record	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of led for:	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have		8
	(1) Do tac cer (a)	records reflect annual tics, physical methods tifications been record Searching technique	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of sled for:	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do tac cer (a)	records reflect annual tics, physical methods tifications been record Searching technique Handcuffing. Use of safety equipm	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of sled for:	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Do tac cer (a) (b) (c)	records reflect annual tics, physical methods tifications been record Searching technique Handcuffing. Use of safety equipm	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of sled for:	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
	(1) Do tac cer (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	records reflect annual tics, physical methods tifications been record Searching technique Handcuffing. Use of safety equipm	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of sled for:	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No
	(1) Do tac cer (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	records reflect annual tics, physical methods tifications been record Searching technique Handcuffing. Use of safety equipm Suspect control. High risk and felony	I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of sled for: es. nent.	d sergeants for proficiency	in enforcement orce)? Have	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No No No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(2)	Is the command dedicating enough time toward training?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all offic and sergeants?	cers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do en	Do Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel compla employ general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the	aints, and e Area?	☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	2) Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel under and what level of force, is justified?	stand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a throubeing made?	ugh review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refreshe is made available?	er training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls ı	s refresher training required prior to certification?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	1) Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the C	CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?		Yes	☑ No
		(b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficategories?	iciency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do	Does the command have an adequate number of instructors?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	1) Is instructor proficiency maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	2) Has an individual been given responsibility for the program?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer	r safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	4) What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? The Area Commander has	allowed for 17 hour	s of office	rsafety
		training annually. This is nine hours above the minimum number of hours required by	policy.		
	(5)	5) Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training?		✓ Yes	□No
		ETY EQUIPMENT Yes No	7-	CORRECTE	D
a.	ls (whi	s Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, captair hile on duty, in uniform?	n and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Is OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets w is utilized to subdue a subject?	vhen OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/not spray on the CHP 121?	nuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminated by flushing the affected a water within 30 minutes?	rea with clear	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		(a)	Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
b	. Ar	e offi	cers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty hols	ters?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		n officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holste safety strap with one hand?	r and without looking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Cai	n officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	in one and a half second	s, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		here personal confirmation by the testing officer that all wated exercises?	reapons are unloaded pri	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
С	. Ar	e offic	pers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
d	. Do	offic	ers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Do	officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	stops?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Car	n officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved by	paton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
е	. Do	all u	niformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		re required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per or was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instruc		s where body	☐Yes	□No
		(a)	If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical	ıl examination?		☐ Yes	□No
f.			ters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do	CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
20	(2)	Wei	re deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?		Yes	□No
4. F	REA	RMS		Yes	Yes	CORRECTED	
a.	Ha	s the	requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	harge of firearms been c	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do d	officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ing of the policy?		Yes	□No
b.	Are	shoo	ots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Hav	e steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	F		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are	weapons training and maintenance records readily avail	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do t	raining records show qualification with all authorized we	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Doe	es the	Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has	the officer completed Academy training for range officer	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Does	s the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is the	e officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is the	ere a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Has that officer received Academy training?	¥		✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

_					
	d. /	Are ra	nge facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) I f	not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐Yes	□No
		(a	Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
		(b	Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
	e. I	s an e	ffective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1) Ha	ve shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(c)	Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) Ha	ve tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(c)	Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(d)	Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3		here a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit cess taken?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(c)	Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(d)	Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
		(e)	Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
		(f)	Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
		(g)	Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
		(h)	When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	ls	policy	adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		s location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an dental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g			pons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined g the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do t	he dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Do t	raining dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-					
	((3) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (records)?	per inventory	✓ Yes	□No
	(-	(4) Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual a (per the shooting roster)?	amount expended	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those train	ing days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(:	(5) Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No	
	((6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?		✓ Yes	□No
	((7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instruc	ctions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4	(8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of date, etc.)?	shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No
		Is there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisinvolved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	tion is not	☐Yes	☑ No
	('	1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training i involved with handling and recording ammunition?	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(2	2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition of supervisor or backup employee?	officer and	☐Yes	☑ No
	i. If	Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of	RP officers? The RP h	as a range	and range
	C	officer. After each shoot, the RP reports to the Area training coordinator.			
	(1	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?		✓ Yes	□No
	j. Ar	re required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1	1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?		Yes	✓ No
5.	PHY	SICAL METHODS OF APPEST	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
	a. D	Do officers practice weaponless defense?		✓ Yes	□ No [®]
	(1	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with we	eaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No
	b. V	Vere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:			
	(1	1) Control holds.		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2	2) Punches.		Yes	☑ No
	(3	3) Strikes.	3.	Yes	☑ No
	(4	4) Blocks.		Yes	☑ No
	(5	5) Defensive kicks.	10	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6	6) Defenses against grabs.		Yes	✓ No
	(7	7) Defenses against weapons.		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8	B) Ground defense and takedowns.		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.		☐Yes	✓ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	-	2 (1.01) 0 00) 01 1 000				
C.	W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques m	ade?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspe uncooperative?	ct who is standing, kneelir	ng, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of depar	tmental policy on handcuf	fing?	✓ Yes	☐ No
d.	Ar	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for	offensive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests beer	reviewed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks at	nd thorough searches bee	n observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searche	s of the opposite sex as o	utlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
EN	ENFORCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO				CORRECTED	}
a.		o sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper proof the five options of an enforcement stop?	cedures which should be f	followed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.		o officers have a constant awareness of their personal sprehending suspected or known criminals?	safety during enforcement	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observe the situation at all times regardless of the level of haz		s' ability to safely control	□Yes	☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportati	on?		☐Yes	□No
C.		there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with a uations?	lied agencies to prepare t	peat officers for hostage	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to contain having jurisdiction?	nent of the incident until r	elieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipl	ine at all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to degress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to hostage incidents?	determine their knowledg	e of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
PU	RSI	UITS	Yes EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а.	Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regardir	g the conduct of pursuits	?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Number of units?		3	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pulisted in policy?	rsuits comply with enforce	ement guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were correct	ive actions taken?		✓ Yes	□ No
b.	Doe	es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure	proper coordination with	allied agencies during		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 00	HP 453S	(Rev.	6-06)	OPI	009
-----------------------------	---------	-------	-------	-----	-----

	(1) Are any written agreements on file?	(6)		☐Yes	✓ No -
	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailore	☐Yes	☑ No		
8. FC	8. FORCIBLE STOPS EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO				
a.	Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on force	ible stops?	·	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action be	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
9. RC	9. ROADBLOCKS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED No)
a.					☑ No
	(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?		8	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper method	ds of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐ Yes	✓ No
10. R.	0. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION EVALUATED Yes No				
a.	Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?		@ 5@6	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?			✓ Yes	□No

- 4(h) The Area's training coordinator processes the ammunition requisition and receives and records the ammunition. Similarly, the range officer handles the ammunition inventory and records shoots into ETRS. A designated ammunition officer is required, per policy, to ensure accountability.
- 4(j)(1) Primary firearm 6 month field strips are behind.
- 5(b) and 6(b) Not observed due to a lack of personnel.

³⁽d) and 3(d)(1) - Officers carry the ASP.

⁴⁽e)(1)(b) - Shotgun inspections are behind.

⁴⁽e)(2)(b) - Rifle inspections are behind.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Bakersfield	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174	197	Date: 8/4/2009

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pr	ill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter xt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.	
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Total hours expende	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included	
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level		inspection: 4			
Executive Office Level	Sycaptive Office Level			Attachments Included	
Follow-up Required: Forwa Tyes No Due D		rd to:			
		ate:			
TRACE LINE WAS READ BY AND	Market Land				
Chapter Inspection: 17				Oscillatora Minima de Carrero de Partir de Carrero	
Inspector's Comments Rega	ding Ir	nnovative Practices	3:		
. 5W					

Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:

Bakersfield Area suggests the shotgun & rifle quarterly inspection program be reviewed.

Policy requires the primary firearm to receive a complete inspection annually and a field strip inspection approximately six months from that date.

Policy further requires the shotgun and rifle be inspected, fired and cleaned during each quarter of the year.

Since the primary weapon is used on a monthly basis for training, and only requires two inspections a year, Bakersfield Area suggests the shotgun & rifle policy be aligned with the primary weapon inspection policy unless climatic conditions require more frequent inspections and cleaning.

Bakersfield Area feels this would make better use of man hours for patrol purposes, as well as the fiscal savings in ammunition from quarterly shoots to test the weapon after inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Inspector's Findings:

Command: Bakersfield	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174		Date: 8/4/2009	

mercero communication and the communication	
The Area's range officer/training coordinator is responsible for inputting shooting records, inventoryin the ammunition, ordering ammunition, and receiving ammunition. Policy requires there be a designar ammunition officer to provide accountability and oversight for the ammunition.) :ed

The Area's records indicate they are behind on their shotgun and rifle quarterly inspection/cleaning as well as the primary firearm "field strip" inspection which is required every six months.

Commander's Response:	⊠ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur	(Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
Communication of temperature.	M college of Political	

Bakersfield Area concurs with the inspector's findings.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Bakersfield	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174		Date: 8/4/2009

Page 3 of 3

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
etc.)	

	·····································
Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

Bakersfield Area has assigned Officer Mike Reed, ID 12983, the collateral duty of being the Area's Ammunition Officer. Officer Reed is currently assigned to the Special Duty staff within Bakersfield Area and will be available to accept the ammunition when it is received, and distribute it to the range officer's when needed. This assignment was made effective October 14, 2009.

Bakersfield Area utilizes an Area form to record the monthly pistol shoots. Area will add a cell to list the officer's annual anniversary date to the form. The Range Officer can then conduct the six month field strip/inspection during range training when it is due. This will be completed and implemented on January 1, 2010.

Bakersfield Area will remove the weapons officers from patrol duties two weeks per quarter and assign them to inspect the shotguns and rifles. At the end of each quarter, the Weapons/Range Sergeant will review the inspection log to verify all inspections were completed and sign the log. All weapons will be inspected prior to December 31, 2009. The new inspection process will be implemented beginning the first quarter of 2010.

		DATE:
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	1 1 m/	11-15-199
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	() The state of t	70 /3 0/
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE /
9	1/1/2	10/19/09
	DEVICE CICKLETURE	DATE
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	. 1
employee	\sim 00 \bowtie	20/10/06
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	Mt. DD	1 - (1/0)
		250.

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
426 BUTTONULLOW Central		CH 17	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
C.J. Swanberg		08/06/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

form can be completed in per					
YPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation		SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW Story C 2/3	hen	10 2,	109
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT		EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
Does the command emph incidence of injuries incurr	asize importance of proper enforced by officers?	cement tactics to achieve th	e lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander	stress importance of proper enfo	rcement tactics, including u	se of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety recor	d of the command reflect an awar	reness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP safety?	100 and CHP 118s, Performance	Appraisals, contain comme	nts on officer	✓ Yes	□No
b. Are the commander and li proper use of force, and the	eutenants knowledgeable of enfone correct use of safety equipmen	rcement tactics, physical m t?	ethods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge app	lied properly in critiques of incide	nts involving officers and se	rgeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lie	eutenants maintain a minimum lev	rel of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend of	ficer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not inv	olved in officer safety, what are th	ne reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICAT	TION	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Do training records indicat	te formal training has been receiv	ed and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect and tactics, physical method certifications been records.	nual certification of traffic officers ods of arrest, and the proper use corded for:	and sergeants for proficient of safety equipment (use of	cy in enforcement force)? Have		
(a) Searching technic	ques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equ	ipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.				✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felo	ny stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.					□No
(g) Prisoner transpor	tation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control hea	ad operation.			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		(
	(2)	ls th	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current	?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure tine and sergeants?	mely recertification of	all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area ploy	supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit invegeneral observations to determine if proper enforcement	estigations, personnel tactics are being use	complaints, and d in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Аге	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?	727	✓ Yes	□No
•	(2)	Are and	use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if al what level of force, is justified?	l uniformed personnel	understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	en complaints indicate	a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pois made available?	roficient and ensure re	efresher training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls re	efres	her training required prior to certification?	e		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish c	ertification indicated o	n the CHP 270?	√ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	✓ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the categories?	norough and continuo	us proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?	05		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?		(1	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of prof	iciency is maintained?	>	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and e	quipment available for	officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	The Area has not co	mpleted the OST day for	2009. The A	Area need:
		few	more OST instructors.				
	(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tr	raining?		✓ Yes	□No
SA	FET	ΥEC	QUIPMENT	Yes	No No	CORRECTE	D
а.	ls C whil	leore le on	esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all duty, in uniform?	uniformed personnel,	captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls O	C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notation is utilized to subdue a subject?	s made on booking sl	heets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		en an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the s spray on the CHP 121?	upervisors noting the	use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminat water within 30 minutes?	ed by flushing the affe	ected area with clear	✓ Yes	□No

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?				✓ Yes	□No
		-	(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
t	o. Ai	re d	officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holst	ers?		✓ Yes	□No
E	(1		Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster the safety strap with one hand?	and without looking at th	ne holster, fasten	☑ Yes	□No
	(2) (Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons withi	n one and a half second	s, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		s there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all we related exercises?	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No	
	. Ar	e c	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			Yes	□No
- C	l. Do	0 0	fficers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) [Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	tops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) (Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved b	aton techniques?		√ Yes	□ No
e	. Do	o a	II uniformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrun	cont?	where body	☐Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physica	l examination?	NA	Yes	□No
f.			nolsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hando ctors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	. [Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	V	Nere deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?		☐ Yes	□No
4. F	IREA	١RI	MS	Yes Yes	NO REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а	. Ha	as t	he requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	narge of firearms been c	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	. [Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(:	a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ing of the policy?	.12	Yes	□No
b	. Ar	e s	hoots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ŀ	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	®		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Α	are weapons training and maintenance records readily availa	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Ε	Do training records show qualification with all authorized wea	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
C	Do	es	the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	F	las the officer completed Academy training for range officer	s?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	С	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	ls	s the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	ls	s there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(8	a) Has that officer received Academy training?	i r		✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

_				
	d.	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
_		(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain a	adequate facilities?	□ No
		(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	∐Yes	□ No
		(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
	e.	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition	in place? ✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	√ Yes	□No
		(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
		(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on	-duty officers?	, 🗌 No
		(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the follow process taken?	ing steps in the audit ☑ Yes	□No
9		(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as retu been determined?	urned (unused) ammunition ☑ Yes	□ No .
		(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
		(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according	to the inventory record?	□No
		(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired p	er shooting rosters?	□No
		(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
		(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions r acknowledged immediately upon delivery?		□No
1	f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded excep	ot in the clearing tube?	□No
		(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the accidental discharge?	ne office in the event of an ☑ Yes	. □ No
ç		. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record relia by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	bility been determined ☑ Yes	s □ No
		(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date tr	raining was conducted?	. □ No
		(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CH	IP 415?	i □ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

-		_					
		(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammuniti records)?	ng (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No	
		(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) (per the shooting roster)?	al amount expended	✓ Yes	□No	
			(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammun	ition verified for those tra	aining days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
		(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?		٠	✓ Yes	□No
		(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETI		✓ Yes	□No	
	į	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establish	ructions?	✓ Yes	□No	
		(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all per date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No		
			nere a procedure in place which ensures the person process plved with the receiving and recording of ammunition invento	✓ Yes	□No		
	, ((1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person re involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No		
	((2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□.No		
	i. If	Ar	ea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to er	nsure weapons training o	of RP officers? N/A		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proceed	lures in place?		Yes	□No
	j. A	re i	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annu-		✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted eve	ery six months?	1	Yes	☑ No
5.	PHY	SIC	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes	No No	CORRECTED	
	а. [Oo (officers practice weaponless defense?	y.		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly famil	iarized themselves with	weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	b. V	Ver	e demonstrations of the following control techniques by offic	ers observed:			
	('	1)	Control holds.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2	2)	Punches.	*		☐Yes	☑ No
	(3	3)	Strikes.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4	4)	Blocks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5	5)	Defensive kicks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6	3)	Defenses against grabs.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7	")	Defenses against weapons.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8	3)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	☑ No
_							
	(9))	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	В		Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

C.	W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Ar	e all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	☐ Yes	✓ No
G.	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	NFO	RCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a.		sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each the five options of an enforcement stop?	✓ Yes	□No
b.		officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when orehending suspected or known criminals?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	☐ Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?	☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	☐ Yes	□No
c.		here evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage actions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents?	✓ Yes	□No
Pl	IRS	JITS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
а.	Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines listed in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	☐Yes	□No
b.		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper coordination with allied agencies during suits?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (ACTION REQUIRED YES ACTION REQUIRED NO ACTION REQUIRED YES ACTION REQUIRED YES (1) Does the Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (3) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (4) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training	
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? 8. FORCIBLE STOPS a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? 9. ROADBLOCKS ACTION REQUIRED ACTION REQU	No
8. FORCIBLE STOPS a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (b) Yes (c) Yes (c) Yes (d) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training (e) Yes (f) Yes (g) Yes (h) Yes (h) Y	No
a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (b) ROADBLOCKS Yes No Yes Yes No Yes CORRECTED CORRECTED] No
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (b) Yes □ (c) Yes □ (d) Yes □ (e) Yes □ (e) Policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training □ Yes □ (e) Yes □ (f) Yes □ (g) Yes □	
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? ☐ Yes ☐ ☐ Yes ☐ ☐ Yes ☐ ☐ Yes ☐ ☐ ROADBLOCKS] No
(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training ✓ Yes EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED Yes No] No
conducted? EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED Yes No] No
. ROADBLOCKS Yes No] No
Lies the Area wasted with allied exercise to devalor plans for cotablishing readblocks and deployment	
of the hollow spike strip?] No
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? ☐ Yes ☑] No
(2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks?] No
(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted?] No
0. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED CORRECTED Yes No	
a. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?] No
b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another Area/Division?] No
c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?] No
The Area needs to have a few more officers that are OST qualified. The Area has not had an OST day for 2009. The Area has done utstanding job with weapons cleaning and keeping up with ETRS. Area training coordinator was very knowledgeable.	an
An enforcement stop was not evaluated due to the limited number of personnel.	

Section 5: Physical methods of arrest

Section B, 1-9

Due to the limited number of available personnel and equipment this section was not evaluated.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Division: Buttonwillow Central		Chapter:	
Inspected by: C.J. Swanberg		Date: 8/6/2009	

Page 1 of 3

	- -			
INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall b number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, cor	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pr	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter kt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 2.5		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:	17	
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection: 17	XX.			
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	anovative Practices		
inspector's Comments Negar	ung n	movative i radioce		
Command Suggestions for S	tatewi	de Improvement:		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command: Buttonwillow	Division: Central	Chapter: 17	
Inspected by: C.J. Swanberg		Date: 8/6/2009	123

Inspector's Findings:			
The Area needs to have a few more	officers that are OS	ST qualified. The Area	has not had an OST day
for 2009. The Area has done an ou		eapons cleaning and	keeping up with ETRS.
Area training coordinator was very k	knowledgeable.		
	*		

Since this inspection, the Area has added two additional OST qualified instructors. Officers Pence and McKinty attended the training at the Academy on August 24 through September 4, 2009. The Area had OST days during the October Area Training Days (Oct 7 and 14), and all officers were certified for the year.

Commander's Response: ☐ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Buttonwillow	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: C.J. Swanberg		Date: 8/6/2009

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
etc.)	

Required Action	
NAME OF A STREET OF THE PARTY O	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

No further action is required.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	Sloyh I Res	DATE 10-21-09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 2009
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	12 4 09

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
464 CHOWCHILLA	Central	CH.17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Vaccarezza, 17286		09/29/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED ☐ Correction Report ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ BY	(-L./.(L,	4.2	10/19	1/09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	EVALUATED VES	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
a. Does the command emphasize importance of propincidence of injuries incurred by officers?	per enforcement tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of pro-	oper enforcement tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect	t an awareness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Perf safety?	ormance Appraisals, contain comment	s on officer	Yes	□No
 Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable proper use of force, and the correct use of safety expressions. 		nods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques	of incidents involving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a min	imum level of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sess	sions?		✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, w	hat are the reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	EVALUATED	NO .	CORRECTED	
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been	en received and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the pro- certifications been recorded for:				
(a) Searching techniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.		9\$	✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head operation.			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

0111	1000 (110	CV. 0 00)	011000				
	(2) Is	the co	mmand dedicating enough time towar	rd training?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a	a) Dot	raining records reflect certifications fo	or officers and sergeants are cu	rrent?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b		ere an established follow-up procedur sergeants?	re to assure timely recertificatio	n of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do Are emplo	ea supe by gener	ervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121A ral observations to determine if proper	s, pursuit investigations, persor r enforcement tactics are being	nnel complaints, and used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) A	re well-	handled incidents recorded for future	training purposes?		✓ Yes	□No
			of force situations closely reviewed to level of force, is justified?	ascertain if all uniformed perso	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
	(a		s an examination of CHP 100, CHP 1 g made?	18s, and citizen complaints indi	icate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
	(b		rea supervisors notify those officers vade available?	who are not proficient and ensu	re refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Is refre	esher tr	aining required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1) Ar	re the n	umber of training hours necessary to	accomplish certification indicat	ed on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is an	y pattern of training weakness appare	ent?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(b)		necessary remedial steps been take gories?	en to assure thorough and conti	nuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Does t	the com	mand have an adequate number of ir	nstructors?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is	instruct	or proficiency maintained?		VEX.	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Ha	as an in	dividual been given responsibility for	the program?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a)) Does	that individual ensure the quality and	d level of proficiency is maintain	ned?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Ar	e there	adequate and properly maintained fa	acilities and equipment available	e for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) W	hat is th	ne quality and quantity of the training l	being given? Area is dedicatir	ng sufficient time to training	and instructo	ors are
	pr	oficien	t.				
	(5) Ha	ave the	supervisor and his/her alternate recei	ived proper training?		✓ Yes	□No
SA	FETY E	QUIPN	TENT	Yes EVALUATED	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
			apsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) c in uniform?	carried by all uniformed person	nel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is (ay used when the need is indicated? zed to subdue a subject?	Are notations made on booking	g sheets when OC spray	☐Yes	□No
	(2) Wh		officer is assaulted and an injury occu on the CHP 121?	urs, are the supervisors noting	the use/nonuse of OC	☐ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

	(a) D	o Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	saline solution?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Aı	re officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
	b. Are officers	s/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holst	ers?	ω	✓ Yes	□No
		fficers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster fety strap with one hand?	r and without looking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Can of	fficers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	in one and a half second	s, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
		e personal confirmation by the testing officer that all was devercises?	eapons are unloaded pri	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
	c. Are officers	s/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
	d. Do officers	/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Do offi	cers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	tops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Can of	fficers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved b	aton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
	e. Do all unifo	nmed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
		required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrun		s where body	☐ Yes	☑ No
-	(a) If s	so, did the involved officer receive a complete physica	I examination?		☐ Yes	□No
		s, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handonspected in conjunction with the annual performance a		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHI	P 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Were d	deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	?		☐ Yes	□No
4.	FIREARMS		Yes	Yes	CORRECTED	
	a. Has the req	uirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discl	narge of firearms been c	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Do offic	cers thoroughly understand the policy?		10	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do	incidents involving firearms show proper understandi	ing of the policy?		✓ Yes	□ No
ı	. Are shoots	conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Have st	teps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(2) Are wea	apons training and maintenance records readily availa	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do train	ning records show qualification with all authorized wea	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
(. Does the Ar	ea have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the	officer completed Academy training for range officers	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Does th	ne officer supervise all shoots?		(i)	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is the of	fficer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Is there	a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Has	s that officer received Academy training?	•	ē.	Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?		□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
_	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
_	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	☐ No
_	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
_	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunitio been determined?	n ☑ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f	f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	n ☑ Yes	□No
ç	g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□ No
_			

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CIII 43	555 (Nev. 5-50) OF 1 665				
	(3) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition w records)?	as issued for training	g (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition	verified for those tra	ining days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
((5) Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No
((6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?			✓ Yes	□ No
((7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established	guidelines and instr	uctions?	✓ Yes	□No
((8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertiner date, etc.)?	nt information (type o	f shoot, scores,	Yes	□No
	Is there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	the ammunition requi	sition is not	☐ Yes	☑ No
((1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person record involved with handling and recording ammunition?	ling weapons training	information is not	☐ Yes	☑ No
((2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limit supervisor or backup employee?	ed to the ammunition	n officer and	✓ Yes	□No
i. If	f Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure	e weapons training o	f RP officers? N/A		
		10			
((1) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures	s in place?		Yes	□No
j. A	Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?			Yes	☐ No
((1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every s	six months?		✓ Yes	□No
5. PHY	COLONI METHODS OF ADDEST	aluated es	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a. [Do officers practice weaponless defense?			√ Yes	□No
(·	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?			✓ Yes	□No
(2	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiariz	ed themselves with v	weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
b. V	Were demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers	observed:			
(*	1) Control holds.			☐ Yes	✓ No
(2	2) Punches.			Yes	☑ No
(3	3) Strikes.			☐ Yes	☑ No
(4	4) Blocks.			Yes	☑ No
(5	5) Defensive kicks		W	Yes	☑ No
(6	6) Defenses against grabs			Yes	☑ No
(7	7) Defenses against weapons.			☐ Yes	☑ No
8)	8) Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	✓ No
(9	9) Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			☐ Yes	☑ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHE	400	o (ive	v. 6-06) OFI 009				
c	. V	Vere.o	observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?		8.	✓ Yes	□No
	(1		an officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who cooperative?	o is standing, kneeli	ng, prone, or		□No
	(2	?) Are	re all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of department	al policy on handcu	ffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d	. A	re all	persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offens	sive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Ha	as the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been revie	ewed?		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2	!) Ha	as a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and tho	rough searches be	en observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3	i) Do	o all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of th	ne opposite sex as o	outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
3. E	NFC	ORCE	EMENT TACTICS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
а	. D	o serg f the fi	geants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure five options of an enforcement stop?	es which should be	followed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b			cers have a constant awareness of their personal safety ending suspected or known criminals?	during enforcemen	t stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) We	ere demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed whe situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard p	nich show the office resented?	rs' ability to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(a)	Is the violator stop effectively made?			□Yes	☐ No
		(b)	Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
		(c)	Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐ Yes	□No
C.		there tuatior	e evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied a	gencies to prepare	beat officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		officers understand their role is limited to containment ving jurisdiction?	of the incident until	relieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are	e officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at	all times?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)) Are	e officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain ress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rer	potential witnesses nder necessary med	, control ingress and dical aid?	e! ☑ Yes	□No
	(4)		ere various officers and supervisors questioned to deternate stage incidents?	mine their knowledલ્	ge of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
, P	URS	UITS		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	Ar	e all u	uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	conduct of pursuits	5?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Nun	mber of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Whe	en to discontinue?		8	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		re pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits ed in policy?	comply with enforc	ement guidelines	☐Yes	✓ No
		(a)	Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective ac	ctions taken?		Yes	□No
b.		es the	e Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure prope	er coordination with	allied agencies during	☐ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S	(Rev	6-06)	OPI	009	

4000	(Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
(1)	Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No
(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?		* .	☐ Yes	✓ No
(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailor	red to the specific ne	eds of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
ORCI	BLE STOPS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on for	cible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?		(4)	✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance v	with policy?		Yes	✓ No
_	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	s corrective action be	een taken or training	Yes	□No
OADI	BLOCKS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
		establishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	Yes	☑ No
(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			Yes	✓ No
(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper meth	nods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			Yes	✓ No
RADIO	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
Can	officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their h	ome Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
Can	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from	the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No
		TRS. A designated	ammunition officer is requ	ired, to insure	
on 3.	Uniformed personnel carry OC but no OC was used durin	g the time period be	ing evaluated.		
n 5bi	(1)-(9) Was not evaluated due to time constraints and lack	of OST equipment	Topics discussed with par	ticipating Of	ficers.
on 6. l	Enforcement stops were not observed due to time constrai	ints however the top	ics were discussed with par	ticipating Of	ficers.
n 7(3	s) Area is a scales facility and had no primary pursuits to e	evaluate.			7)
	(2) (3) ORC (1) (2) Has of the control of the contr	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailor ORCIBLE STOPS Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on for (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted? OADBLOCKS Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for of the hollow spike strip? (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper method (3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? RADIO FAMILIARIZATION Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head? Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their hours ange officer handles ammo inventory and records shoots into Equation (4) and (5) and (6) are strictly operated all emergency equipment from (5) and (6) and (6) are strictly operated due to time constraints and lack on (6). Enforcement stops were not observed due to time constraints and lack on (6). Enforcement stops were not observed due to time constraints.	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific network of the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific network of the Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action be conducted? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action be conducted? (b) Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadble of the hollow spike strip? (c) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing and the hollow spike strip? (d) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing and the interagency training sessions been conducted? RADIO FAMILIARIZATION Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head? Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to anothe Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head? Can officer handles ammo inventory and records shoots into ETRS. A designated intability. On 3. Uniformed personnel carry OC but no OC was used during the time period be on 5b(1)-(9) Was not evaluated due to time constraints and lack of OST equipment. On 6. Enforcement stops were not observed due to time constraints however the top	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (4) Pres No Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (3) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (4) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (5) Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblocks and deployment of the hollow spike strip? (6) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (7) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks? (8) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? (9) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? (10) RAMILIARIZATION Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head? (11) Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another Area/Division? (12) Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head? (23) Can officer seminate ammo inventory and records shoots into ETRS. A designated ammunition officer is required by the seminate of the period being evaluated. (24) Was not evaluated due to time constraints and lack of OST equipment. Topics discussed with particular topics were discussed with particular topics were discussed with particular topics.	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (4) Yes ACTION REQUIRED Personance I knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (5) Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (6) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (7) Have forcible stop preports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (8) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? (9) Personal Information of the hollow spike strip? (10) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (11) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (12) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks? (13) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? (14) Personance I Per

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 3

Command: CRIF 464	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by:	17286	Date: 09/29/2009

number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspection docume	Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or find the control of the c	actices, suggestions for statewide
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	evel	Total hours expended on the inspection:4	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa		
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices:	
Command Suggestions for St	atewic	le Improvement:	
receiving ammunition. Policy r	equire	s responsible for inputting shoots, s a there be a designated ammur a audits should be placed with the	nition officer to provide
	/	r or Do Not Concur (Do Not Conc	

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
CRIF 464	Central	17
Inspected by: Vaccarezza 17286		Date: 09/29/2009

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: CRIF 464	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: Vaccarezza	17286	Date: 09/29/2009

* A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	BH WAS IN					
Required Action		A Decident	AND STATE	L'alice Leville	· 斯斯· [2] [2] [2]	
			alge, it bi			
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline						

Due to the limited number of personnel assigned to the Chowchilla River Inspection Facility, we have one officer who serves as the Facility Coordinator, Public Information Officer, Training Officer, Range Officer and Ammunition Officer. When ammunition is received, the ammunition officer and another member of the command account for the delivery. The ammunition officer is never solely responsible for the accounting of an ammunition delivery. The SOP will reflect the following procedure. For all ammunition deliveries, the ammunition will be received by 1) the on-duty supervisor; 2) If no supervisor is available, the Officer-in-charge will receive the ammunition. In no case shall the ammunition officer receive a shipment of ammunition.

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	1 21. 1 X1 X1	la lui la
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	C 2 1. Apr /	10/14/07
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNAT URE	DATE
	d/A	
	7 M 8-9	67/29/01
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE '
employee		. / /
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	(1 CIXIII.	12/7/09
	- Julian	1/1/1/

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
ARFA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
495 COALINGA	Central	CHP17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		08/18/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
☐ Correction Report ☐ Yes ☑ No	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE 19/3	e/ox
I. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Does the command emphasize importance of proper enfincidence of injuries incurred by officers?	orcement tactics to achieve	e the lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper el	nforcement tactics, includir	ng use of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an av	wareness of proper tactics?	?	✓ Yes	□ No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performan safety?	ce Appraisals, contain con	nments on officer	✓ Yes	□No
 Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of en proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipm 		al methods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of inci	dents involving officers an	d sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum	level of enforcement skills	?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are	e the reasons?			
	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	YES	NO		
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been rec	eived and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic office tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper us certifications been recorded for:	rs and sergeants for profic se of safety equipment (us	iency in enforcement e of force)? Have		
(a) Searching techniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	☐ No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.	<u> </u>		✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head operation.			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		•					
	(2)	ls t	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and ser	geants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timel and sergeants?	y recertification of all	officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investig general observations to determine if proper enforcement tac			✓ Yes	□No
_	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all ur what level of force, is justified?	niformed personnel un	derstand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen obeing made?	complaints indicate a t	through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not profic is made available?	cient and ensure refre	sher training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls r	efres	ner training required prior to certification?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certif	fication indicated on th	ne CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorocategories?	ough and continuous p	oroficiency in all	√ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es the	command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?	25		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficie	ncy is maintained?			□No
	(3)	Are	here adequate and properly maintained facilities and equip	ment available for off	icer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	t is the quality and quantity of the training being given? ${ m Th}$	e Area has not comp	leted the OST day for 2	009. The	Area has the
		OST	training day scheduled for 4th quarter.				
							M
	(5)	Have	the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper traini	ng?		☐Yes	✓ No
3. SA	FET	YEQ	UIPMENT Y	ALUATED CS	No REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a.			sin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all unifduty, in uniform?	ormed personnel, cap	otain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		S spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations m s utilized to subdue a subject?	ade on booking shee	ts when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the superpray on the CHP 121?	rvisors noting the use	/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_						
			(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?		☑ Yes	□No
			(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Are	e officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?		√ Yes	□No
		(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, the safety strap with one hand?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using on	e hand?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holste related exercises?		✓ Yes	□No
	c.	Are	e officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?		☐ Yes	☑ No
		(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?		☐ Yes	✓ No
		(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
	e.	Doa	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?		✓ Yes	□No
			Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where bot armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	dy	☐Yes	□No
			(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?		☐ Yes	□No
	f.		holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray jectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	Ti di	✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?		☐ Yes	□No
4.	FIF	REAF	RMS EVALUATED ACTION REQU Yes No	IRED	CORRECTED	
	a.	Has	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled wi	th?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
			(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?		✓ Yes	□No
	c.	Does	es the Area have a range officer?		✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?		✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?		✓ Yes	□No
		(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?		✓ Yes	□No
		((a) Has that officer received Academy training?		✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

_	,			
	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and ni	ght shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans	s developed to obtain adequate facilities?	Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract rene	egotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?		☐ Yes	□No
	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns,	rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?		✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable con	ndition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?		✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?		✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are r	not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and co	ontrol?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammorprocess taken?	unition? Are the following steps in the audit	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	-	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by red been determined?	quisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition record	ls been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been take	n?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the bala	nce on hand according to the inventory record?	☑ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been com	pared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory re	cords been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply quantities checked against the packing/shipping do acknowledged immediately upon delivery?		✓ Yes	□No
f	f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, load	ded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to paccidental discharge?	personnel in or about the office in the event of an	✓ Yes	□No
g	g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per poly testing the accuracy of the following recorded information		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspo	nd to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information	on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No
_				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition records)?	g (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No		
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) (per the shooting roster)?	compared with the actua	I amount expended	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammuni	tion verified for those tra	ining days tested?	✓ Yes	□No	
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No	
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETF	S?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establis	hed guidelines and instr	uctions?	✓ Yes	□ No	
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pert date, etc.)?	inent information (type o	f shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No	
h.		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processic olved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventor		sition is not	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	cording weapons training	information is not	✓ Yes	□No	
-	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records supervisor or backup employee?	officer and	✓ Yes	□No		
i.	i. If Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A						
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proced	ures in place?		☐ Yes	□No	
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annua	al CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted eve	ry six months?		✓ Yes	□No	
5. P	HYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes	No No	CORRECTE)	
a.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?		···	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly famil	arized themselves with	weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No	
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by offic	ers observed:				
	(1)	Control holds.			☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(2)	Punches.			☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(3)	Strikes.			☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(4)	Blocks.			☐ Yes	✓ No	
	(5.)	Defensive kicks.			☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.			☐Yes	☑ No	
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.			☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			☐ Yes	☑ No	

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	4000	3 (Nev. 0-00) OF 1 009				
C.	We	ere observations of practical handcuffing technique	es made?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a su uncooperative?	uspect who is standing, kneeling	g, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of de	epartmental policy on handcuffir	ng?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Are	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched	for offensive weapons?	1	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests I	been reviewed?		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frish	ks and thorough searches been	observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to sear	ches of the opposite sex as out	lined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
E	NFO	PRCEMENT TACTICS	Yes EVALUATED	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.		o sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper the five options of an enforcement stop?	procedures which should be for	llowed during each		□No
b.		o officers have a constant awareness of their perso oprehending suspected or known criminals?	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop ob the situation at all times regardless of the level o	served which show the officers' f hazard presented?	ability to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transpo	ortation?		☐ Yes	□No
C.	Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?					✓ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to con having jurisdiction?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire di	scipline at all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	(3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?				□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questione hostage incidents?	d to determine their knowledge	of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
P	URSUITS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO					· ·
a.	Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy reg	10.422		✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1)	*	<u> </u>		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		H		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		ment guidelines	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were co	rrective actions taken?		☐ Yes	□No
	D	es the Area have written guidelines or plans to en		llied agencies during		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP	453S	(Rev.	6-06)	OPI	009

	(1) Are any written agreements on file?					☑ No
	(2) I	s Division involved in the planning process?			Yes	☑ No
	(3) [Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored	to the specific n	eeds of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8. FC	RCIB	LE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
а.	Are A	rea personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcib	le stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) [Ooes the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) H	✓ Yes	□No			
	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has co- conducted?	orrective action b	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□ No
9. RC	ADBI	ocks.	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.		he Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for es hollow spike strip?	tablishing roadbl	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1) A	re strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) H	lave the officers received instructions on the proper method	s of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) F	lave interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐Yes	☑ No
10. R	ADIO	FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.	Are o	fficers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b.	Can	officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their hom	ne Area to anoth	er Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Can	✓ Yes	□No			

3(d) and 3(d)(1)- Officers carry the ASP.

5(b) - Not observed due to lack of personnel.

6(b) - Not observed due to lack of personnel.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Coalinga	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date:
D. Torres, 17	497	8/18/2009

Page 1 of 3

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forwent shall be utilized to do	vard to:" enter the ne cument innovative pr	
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	Total hours expended on the inspection: 3.5		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included	
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:		
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection: 17		a fairles a comme		6 4。中国工作工作中的发生一致企业工
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	novative Practices	s:	
51				
			15	
		3		
Command Suggestions for St	atewid	le Improvement:		,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Coalinga	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date:
D. Torres, 17	497	8/18/2009

Page 2 of 3

Inspector's Findings:		
The Area's training program is within departmental policy. Juarter of 2009.	The Area will be holding	its OST days in 4 ^t
9		2
	ä	
e e		
Commandaria Dagananaga 🗖 Canaur ar 🗆 Da Nat Canau	* (D - N - t O h - h - d h - h -	ant basis for recognize
Commander's Response: Concur or ☐ Do Not Concu	r (Do Not Concur shall docum	ent basis for response
N N		
		*
nspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by com	mander (e.g., findings revised	findings unchanged,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Coalinga	Central	17	
Inspected by:		Date:	
D. Torres, 17	497	8/18/2009	

		The Section of Miles		
Required Action				
Corrective Action Plan/T	imeline			

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	10/7/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/29/29
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	12 3 09

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
430 FORT TEJON	Central	CHR17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		09/16/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Info	ormal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes [] No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	Dan on	DATE /O -	-8-9
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT		EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
Does the command empha- incidence of injuries incurre	size importance of proper enforc d by officers?		e the lowest possible	√ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander s	stress importance of proper enfo	rcement tactics, includir	g use of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record	of the command reflect an awar	eness of proper tactics?	0	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 10 safety?	00 and CHP 118s, Performance	Appraisals, contain com	ments on officer	 Yes	□No
	utenants knowledgeable of enfore correct use of safety equipment		I methods of arrest,	☑ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applie	ed properly in critiques of incider	nts involving officers and	d sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieu	tenants maintain a minimum leve	el of enforcement skills?	>	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend office	cer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not invo	lved in officer safety, what are th	e reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATI	ON	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED):
a. Do training records indicate	formal training has been receive	ed and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annu tactics, physical methoc certifications been record	al certification of traffic officers a ls of arrest, and the proper use or rded for:	and sergeants for profici of safety equipment (use	ency in enforcement e of force)? Have		
(a) Searching technique	ies.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equip	ment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.					□No
(e) High risk and felony	y stops.				☐ No
(f) Hostage control.					□ No
(g) Prisoner transporta	tion.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head	operation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

(2) Ist	ne command dedicating enough time toward	training?	*7	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for	officers and sergeants are cur	rrent?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure and sergeants?	to assure timely recertificatio	n of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
. Do	o Area	supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, general observations to determine if proper	, pursuit investigations, persor enforcement tactics are being	nnel complaints, and used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
(1)) Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future tr	aining purposes?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)			scertain if all uniformed perso	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 110 being made?	8s, and citizen complaints ind	icate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
	(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers wis made available?	ho are not proficient and ensu	ıre refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
Is	refres	ner training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	✓ No
(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to a	ccomplish certification indicat	ed on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparer	nt?		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken categories?	to assure thorough and conti	inuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
Do	es th	command have an adequate number of ins	structors?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	ls ir	structor proficiency maintained?			Yes	□No
(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the	ne program?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and	level of proficiency is maintain	ned?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained fac	ilities and equipment availabl	e for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
(4)	Wha	it is the quality and quantity of the training b	eing given? New OST begin	ning to be implemented with	annual OST	certification.
)			
(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and his/her alternate receiv	red proper training?		✓ Yes	□ No
AFET	TY EC	UIPMENT	Yes EVALUATED	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
			arried by all uniformed person	nel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
(1)			Are notations made on bookir	ng sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
(2) When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121?						□No
(-)		spray on the CHP 121?			✓ Yes	
	. Do er (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) AFE	(a) (b) Do Area employ (1) Are (2) Are and (a) (b) Is refresh (1) Are (a) (b) Does the (1) Is in (2) Has (a) (3) Are (4) Wha (5) Have Is Oleore while on (1) Is Of	(a) Do training records reflect certifications for (b) Is there an established follow-up procedure and sergeants? Do Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As employ general observations to determine if proper of the common of the commo	(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are cut (b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification and sergeants? Do Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, persore employ general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being (1) Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes? (2) Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed persorand what level of force, is justified? (a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints ind being made? (b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensuris made available? Is refresher training required prior to certification? (1) Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated. (a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent? (b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and conticategories? Does the command have an adequate number of instructors? (1) Is instructor proficiency maintained? (2) Has an individual been given responsibility for the program? (a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintain. (3) Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available. (4) What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? New OST begins. (5) Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training? EVALUATED Yes Is Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed person while on duty, in uniform?	(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current? (b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants? Do Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and employ general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area? (1) Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes? (2) Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand when, and what level of force, is justified? (a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through review is being made? (b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available? Is refresher training required prior to certification? (1) Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270? (a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent? (b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories? Does the command have an adequate number of instructors? (1) Is instructor proficiency maintained? (2) Has an individual been given responsibility for the program? (a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained? (3) Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training? (4) What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? New OST beginning to be implemented with the program of the	(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current? ② Yes (b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants? Do Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and employ general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area? ② Yes (1) Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes? ② Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand when, and what level of force, is justified? ② Yes (a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through review is being made? ② Yes (b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available? Is refresher training required prior to certification? ② Yes Is refresher training required prior to certification? ② Yes (a) Is any pattern of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270? ② Yes (b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories? Does the command have an adequate number of instructors? ② Yes (b) Has an individual been given responsibility for the program? ② Yes ② Yes ② Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained? ② Yes ③ Are there adequate and property maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training? ② Yes ③ Are there adequate and property maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training? ② Yes ⑤ Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training? ③ Yes ⑤ Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training? ⑤ ORGENTER

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

)	_			
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	? ✓ Yes	□ No
		(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No
	c.	Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□ No
	d.	. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□ No
	e.	Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No
-		(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐ Yes	□ No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	Yes	□No
	f.	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
		(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	□No
4.	FIF	REARMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes Yes	CORRECTE)
_	a.	Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
_		(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
	b.	Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□ No
-	D.	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
	1	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	((2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	((3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No
	((4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

_			
	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?		□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?		□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?		□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammu been determined?	unition ☑ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory reco	ord?	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	ed, ☑ Yes	□No
	f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube	? Ves	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event		
	accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	∏ No
	g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determine by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	ed ✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted	d? ✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-				
	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	√ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	Yes	☑No
	i. If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐Yes	□No
	j. Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	Yes	☑ No
5.	PHYS	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes NO	CORRECTED)
	a. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
-	o. We	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.	Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	Yes	✓ No %
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☐ Yes	✓ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

	1	,,				
W	ere o	bservations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			. ✓ Yes	□No
(1)		2 1.1 2	is standing, kneelin	g, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
(2)) Ar	e all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmenta	l policy on handcuff	ing?	✓ Yes	□No
Ar	e all	persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensi	ve weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)) На	as the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review	ved?		✓ Yes	□No
(2)) Ha	s a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thor	n observed?	✓ Yes	□No	
(3)	Do	all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	opposite sex as ou	utlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
NFO	RCE	MENT TACTICS	Yes	No No	CORRECTED	
			s which should be fo	ollowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
			during enforcement	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?					☐Yes	☑ No
(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?						□No
(b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?					☐ Yes	□No
					☐ Yes	□No
Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?					☐ Yes	□No
(1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction?					✓ Yes	□No
(2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?						□No
(3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?						□No
(4)			nine their knowledge	e of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
JRSI	UITS		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE	D
Are	all u	uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the			✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Nur	nber of units?			✓ Yes	□No
		mber of units? en to discontinue?			✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No
(1)	Wh		comply with enforce	ement guidelines		
(1)	Wh.	en to discontinue? re pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits		ement guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
(1) (2) (3)	Who We liste	en to discontinue? re pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits of in policy? Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective act e Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper	ions taken?		✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) (2) (3) NFC Do of Do app (1) (1) (2) (3) (4)	(1) Caun (2) Are (2) Ha (3) Do NFORCE Do serve of the form of th	 Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative? Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmenta. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensi. Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review. Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thor. Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the NFORCEMENT TACTICS. Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety of apprehending suspected or known criminals? Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed whith the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard process. Is the violator stop effectively made? Is the violator completely controlled? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agaituations? Do officers understand their role is limited to containment or having jurisdiction? Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at a egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and renderses to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and renderses. 	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneelin uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuff. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been composite sex as our proceedings of the opposite sex as our proceedings. Tactics Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be for of the five options of an enforcement stop? Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement apprehending suspected or known criminals? (1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented? (a) Is the violator stop effectively made? (b) Is the violator completely controlled? (c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation? Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare situations? (1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until rehaving jurisdiction? (2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times? (3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical possibility in the process of the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical possibility in the process of the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical possibility in the process of the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical possibility in the process of the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical possible process of the scene, evacuate the area if required.	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? NFORCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative? (2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing? (2) Yes Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (3) Persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons? (4) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed? (5) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (6) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed? (7) Yes (8) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy? (7) Yes NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?			☐Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?		8	Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored	s of the command?	☐Yes	☑ No	
8. F	ORCI	IBLE STOPS	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	6
a	. Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forci	ble stops?			□No
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	✓ Yes	□No			
			□No			
9. R	OAD	BLOCKS	Yes	No .	CORRECTED	
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for e he hollow spike strip?	stablishing roadblocks	s and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper metho	ds of establishing roa	dblocks?		□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?		190	☐ Yes	☑ No
10. I	RADIO	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b.	Car	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to another A	rea/Division?	✓ Yes	No
C.	Can	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from th	e radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

3(d) and 3(d)(1) - Officers carry the ASP.

4(h) - The Area does not have an ammunition officer who provides oversight and accountability to the armory inventory.

4(e)(1)(b) - Shotgun inspections are behind.

4(e)(2)(b) - Rifle inspections are behind.

4(j)(1) - Primary firearm 6 month field strips are behind.

5(b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Fort Tejon	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174		Date: 9/16/2009

Chapter:

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall income of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	r Inspecti s docume	on number. Under "Fon ent shall be utilized to do	ward to:" enter the nex cument innovative pr	ill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapte xt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Executive Office Level	Level	Total hours expende inspection: 3	ed on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa		No.	
☐ Yes	Due D	rate.		
Chapter Inspection: 17	177			
Inspector's Comments Rega	rdina li	nnovative Practice	<u> </u>	
Command Suggestions for S	tatewi	de Improvement:		
None.				

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Fort Tejon	Central	17	
Inspected by:		Date:	
D. Torres, 174	497	9/16/2009	

Page 2 of 3

Inspector's Findings:	

The Area's records indicate they are behind on rifle and shotgun inspections.

Policy review is being conducted; however, the training is being incorrectly/not recorded in ETRS and shows officers to be deficient.

The Area does not have an Ammunition officer who provides accountability and oversight to the armory inventory. This is required by policy.

The Area is behind on its S&W TSW "field strip" inspections which are required every six months.

Commander's Response:
☐ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

The Area is current on the annual shotgun and rifle inspections; however the weapons officer will document the semi annual inspection in the future.

Training is being conducted and all of the Area's officers are current in the training requirements. The Area's training coordinator has updated the ETRS system.

The Area's range officer was responsible for the ammunition inventory and held accountable by the Area's training sergeant during quarterly ammunition audits. In the future the Area range officer will have a special duty officer or sergeant present to verify the removal and replacement of all ammunition from the armory.

The Area range officer will document the completion of the six month S&W TSW "field strip".

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Fort Tejon	Central	17	
Inspected by:		Date:	
D. Torres, 174	497	9/16/2009	

Page 3 of 3

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non co etc.)	oncurrence by commander (e.g.,	findings revised, findings unchanged,
/		
v		
4		
Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		
2		
		301
	ii.	
Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	10-8-6
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE ,
	Londo	6/29/04
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	m J. DD	12/4/09

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA		DIVISION	NUMBER
435	FRESNO	Central '	CH 17
EVALU	ATED BY		DATE
C.J.	Swanberg 09/02/2009		

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

COMMAND INVOLVEMENT		evaluation mal Evaluation	☐ Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
a. Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcement tactics, including use of force? (1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcement tactics, including use of force? (2) Does the safety record of the commander effect an awareness of proper tactics? (3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appraisals, contain comments on officer safety? (4) Does the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? (5) Do the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? (6) It is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents involving officers and sergeants? (7) Yes				COMMANDER'S REVIEW	ute	10/	
Incidence of injuries incurred by officers? (1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcement tactics, including use of force? (2) Poes the safety record of the command reflect an awareness of proper tactics? (3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appraisals, contain comments on officer safety? (3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appraisals, contain comments on officer safety? (4) Expression of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? (5) Do the captain and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? (6) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of enforcement skills? (7) Yes No (8) Do they attend officer safety training sessions? (9) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? (1) It is training records indicate formal training has been received and certified? (1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for (a) Searching techniques. (b) Handculfing. (c) Use of safety equipment. (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony stops. (f) Hostage control. (g) Prisoner transportation.	1. CON	MAND INVOLVE	EMENT			CORRECTED)
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awareness of proper tactics? (3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appraisals, contain comments on officer safety? (3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appraisals, contain comments on officer safety? (4) Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? (5) Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? (6) It sthis knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents involving officers and sergeants? (7) Yes □ No (8) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of enforcement skills? (8) Yes □ No (9) Yes □ No (10) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? (11) TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION (12) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for (13) Searching techniques. (14) Yes □ No (15) Wes □ No (16) Handcuffing. (17) Yes □ No (18) Suspect control. (19) Yes □ No (19) Prisoner transportation.				cement tactics to achieve the	e lowest possible	✓ Yes	□ No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appraisals, contain comments on officer safety? Yes No	(1) Does the com	mander stress importance of proper enfo	rcement tactics, including u	se of force?	✓ Yes	□No
b. Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? (1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents involving officers and sergeants? (2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of enforcement skills? (3) Do they attend officer safety training sessions? (4) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? (5) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? (6) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? (7) Yes No (8) Do records indicate formal training has been received and certified? (9) Yes No (11) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for (a) Searching techniques. (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equipment. (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony stops. (f) Hostage control. (g) Prisoner transportation.	(2	2) Does the safe	ty record of the command reflect an awa	reness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? Yes	(3		s' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance	Appraisals, contain comme	nts on officer	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of enforcement skills? (a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions? (b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? (c) TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION (a) Do training records indicate formal training has been received and certified? (b) Training records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for: (a) Searching techniques. (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equipment. (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony stops. (f) Hostage control. (g) Prisoner transportation.					ethods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions? (b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? 2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received and certified? (1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for (a) Searching techniques. (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equipment. (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony stops. (f) Hostage control. (g) Prisoner transportation.	(1	l) Is this knowled	dge applied properly in critiques of incide	nts involving officers and se	rgeants?	✓ Yes	☐ No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the reasons? 2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received and certified? (1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for: (a) Searching techniques. (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equipment, (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony stops. (f) Hostage control. (g) Prisoner transportation.	(2	2) Do the captair	n and lieutenants maintain a minimum lev	rel of enforcement skills?		[∕] Yes	☐ No
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received and certified? (1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for (a) Searching techniques. (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equipment, (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony stops. (f) Hostage control. (g) Prisoner transportation.		(a) Do they a	ttend officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	[] No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for (a) Searching techniques. (b) Handcuffing. (c) Use of safety equipment. (d) Suspect control. (e) High risk and felony stops. (f) Hostage control. (g) Prisoner transportation.	2. TRAI			EVALUATED		CORRECTED)
tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of safety equipment (use of force)? Have certifications been recorded for (a) Searching techniques.	a. D	o training records	indicate formal training has been receiv		- I	✓ Yes	☐ No
(b) Handcuffing. ☑ Yes ☐ No (c) Use of safety equipment. ☑ Yes ☐ No (d) Suspect control. ☑ Yes ☐ No (e) High risk and felony stops. ☑ Yes ☐ No (f) Hostage control. ☑ Yes ☐ No (g) Prisoner transportation. ☑ Yes ☐ No	(1	tactics, physica	al methods of arrest, and the proper use				
(c) Use of safety equipment. Yes No (d) Suspect control. Yes No (e) High risk and felony stops. Yes No (f) Hostage control. Yes No (g) Prisoner transportation. Yes No		(a) Searching	techniques			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control. Yes No (e) High risk and felony stops. Yes No (f) Hostage control. Yes No (g) Prisoner transportation. Yes No		(b) Handcuffir	ng.			✓ Yes	□ No
(e) High risk and felony stops. ☑ Yes ☐ No (f) Hostage control. ☑ Yes ☐ No (g) Prisoner transportation. ☑ Yes ☐ No		(c) Use of safe	ety equipment,			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control. ✓ Yes ☐ No (g) Prisoner transportation. ✓ Yes ☐ No		(d) Suspect co	ontrol.			Yes	[] No
(g) Prisoner transportation.		(e) High risk a	and felony stops.			√ Yes	□ No
		(f) Hostage co	ontrol.			✓ Yes	[] No
(h) Radio control head operation.		(g) Prisoner tr	ansportation.			✓ Yes	□No
		(h) Radio con	trol head operation			✓ Yes	No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	_						
	(2)	ls t	he command dedicating enough time toward training?				□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are curr	ent?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure til and sergeants?	mely recertification	of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b	. Do	Area ploy	a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inve general observations to determine if proper enforcement	estigations, personi tactics are being t	nel complaints, and used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Аге	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all what level of force, is justified?	l uniformed person	nel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	en complaints indic	ate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pris made available?	roficient and ensur	e refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
¢.	ls r	efres	her training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish o	ertification indicate	d on the CHP 270?	Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the categories?	norough and contin	uous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is in	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	□No
40		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of profi	ciency is maintain	ed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and ed	quipment available	for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given?		×		
			*				
	(5)	Hav	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tr	aining?		✓ Yes	□No
3. S/	FET	YEC	QUIPMENT	Yes	No No	CORRECTED	0
a.	Is C whil	leore le on	esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all duty, in uniform?	uniformed personn	el, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notation is utilized to subdue a subject?	s made on booking	g sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		en an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the su spray on the CHP 121?	upervisors noting t	he use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate water within 30 minutes?	ed by flushing the	affected area with clear	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

g 8 8

-								
			(a)	Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
			(b)	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
	b.	Аге	e offi	cers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holst	ers?		✓ Yes	□No
_		(1)		n officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster safety strap with one hand?	r and without looking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Са	n officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	in one and a half second	s, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
		(3)		here personal confirmation by the testing officer that all wated exercises?	eapons are unloaded pri	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
	c.	Are	offic	cers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?		0	✓ Yes	□No
	d.	Do	offic	ers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do	officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	tops?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Car	n officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved b	aton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
	e.	Do	all u	niformed personnel wear body armor?			☑ Yes	□No
		(1)		re required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per or was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrun	10	where body レ/冷	□Yes	□No
			(a)	If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical	l examination?	NA	Yes	□ No
	f.			sters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handours inspected in conjunction with the annual performance of		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Do	CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Wei	re deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	?		Yes	□No
4.	FIF	REA	RMS		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
	а.	Has	the	requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discl	narge of firearms been c	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(1)	Do	officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
			(a)	Do incidents involving firearms show proper understandi	ng of the policy?		Yes	□ No
	b.	Are	shoo	ots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
			-	e steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			Yes	□ No
			_	weapons training and maintenance records readily availa			✓ Yes	□ No
		(3)	Do t	raining records show qualification with all authorized wea	pons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□ No
	0.	_		Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□ No
				the officer completed Academy training for range officers	s? 		✓ Yes	□ No
_	_			s the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□ No
_	(e officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(ere a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□ No
	0	•	(a)	Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	√ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	☑ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

		()				
	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunitio records)?	n was issued for training	g (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) of (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No		
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunit	✓ Yes	□No		
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETR	S?		✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establish	ned guidelines and instr	uctions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertidate, etc.)?	nent information (type o	f shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No
h		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing of which the receiving and recording of ammunition inventor		isition is not	√ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	ording weapons training	j information is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records li supervisor or backup employee?	mited to the ammunition	n officer and	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ens	sure weapons training o	f RP officers? RP uses	local range	
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedu	ures in place?	e e	☐Yes	□No
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annua	I CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever	ry six months?		✓ Yes	□No
5. P	HYS	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a	. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?			✓ Yes	□No
	_(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familia	arized themselves with	weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by office	ers observed:			
	(1)	Control holds.			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Punches.			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(3)	Strikes.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	,		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			☐ Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	4000	5 (Rev. 0-00) OFI 009				
С	· VV	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques ma	ade?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	✓ Yes	□No			
	(2)) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of depart	tmental policy on handcuffi	ng?	✓ Yes	□No
d	Ar	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for	offensive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been	reviewed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks ar	nd thorough searches beer	observed?	✓ Yes	· No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches	s of the opposite sex as ou	tlined in policy?	√ Yes	□No
E	NFO	PRCEMENT TACTICS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.		o sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper proc the five options of an enforcement stop?	cedures which should be fo	ollowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.		o officers have a constant awareness of their personal sprehending suspected or known criminals?	safety during enforcement	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observenthe situation at all times regardless of the level of haz		dility to safely control	☐Yes	☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□ No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation	on?		☐Yes	□No
c.	Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?					□No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to contain having jurisdiction?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	(2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?				□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to degress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and	control ingress and cal aid?			
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to hostage incidents?	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents?			□No
PI	JRSI	UITS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regardir	ng the conduct of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pullisted in policy?	rsuits comply with enforce	ment guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were correct	ive actions taken?		☐ Yes	□No
b.	The state of the s					□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	,					
(1)	Are	e any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	✓ No
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?						✓ No
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command?						☑ No
3. FORCIBLE STOPS Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO					CORRECTED	
Are	Are	ea personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcib	le stops?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No	
(2)) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy?				✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has co- conducted?	rrective action be	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
DADE	BLO	ocks	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
			ablishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	✓ No
(1)) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			☐ Yes	☑ No	
(2)	Hav	ve the officers received instructions on the proper method	s of establishing	roadblocks?		□No
(3)	Hav	ve interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐ Yes	☑ No
ADIC) FA	AMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
Are	offic	cers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?	13		✓ Yes	□No
Can	offi	icers demonstrate how to change the radio from their hom	e Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	Yes	□No
. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?						□No
	(2) (3) Are (1) (2) DADI Has of th (1) (2) (3) AADIC Are Can	(2) Is (3) Do CORCIBL Are Are (1) Do (2) Ha (a) COADBLO Has the of the re (1) Are (2) Ha (3) Ha ADIO F. Are offi Can off	ORCIBLE STOPS Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcib (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted? DADBLOCKS Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for est of the hollow spike strip? (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper method: (3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? ADIO FAMILIARIZATION Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head? Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific network. (5) PRCIBLE STOPS Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcible stops? (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action be conducted? (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action be conducted? (b) PADBLOCKS PEVALUATED Yes That the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadble of the hollow spike strip? (c) Have the officers and personnel assignments outlined? (d) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? PADIO FAMILIARIZATION Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head? Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (5) DRCIBLE STOPS EVALUATED YeS ACTION REQUIRED YeS No	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? Pes PRCIBLE STOPS ACTION REQUIRED Yes No ACTION REQUIRED Yes ACTION REQUIRED Yes ACTION REQUIRED Yes ACTION REQUIRED Yes (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? Yes (2) Have forcible stop peports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (3) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted? PABLICKS EVALUATED Yes No ACTION REQUIRED No CORRECTED Yes (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks? (3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? ACTION REQUIRED Yes (3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? ACTION REQUIRED Yes (4) ACTION REQUIRED Yes (5) Yes ACTION REQUIRED Yes CORRECTED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No ACTION REQUIRED No ACTION REQUIRED No ACTION REQUIRED Yes CORRECTED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No Yes CORRECTED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No AC

The Area has done an outstanding job with weapons cleaning and keeping up with ETRS. Area training coordinator was very knowledgeable.

An enforcement stop was not evaluated due to the limited number of personnel.

Section 5: Physical methods of arrest

Section B, 1-9

Due to the limited number of available personnel and equipment this section was not evaluated.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

1 100110	4
Inspected by:	
C.J. Swanberg	

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Fresno	Central	17	
Inspected by: C.J. Swanbe	ra	Date: 09/02/2009	

Page 1 of 3

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the ne cument innovative pr			
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 2.5		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included		
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:				
☐ Yes	Due D	rate:				
Chapter Inspection: 17		CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	STATE AND STATE OF THE STATE OF			
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices		WELFARD WELFARM MAKE ARRAY		
				е		
Command Suggestions for St	atowia	la Improvament				

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Fresno	Central	17	
Inspected by: C.J. Swanber	g	Date: 09/02/2009	

Page 2 of 3				
Inspector's Findings:				
The Area has done an out coordinator was very know	standing job with we vledgeable.	eapons cleaning a	nd keeping up with ETRS	S. Area training
*				
×				
Commander's Response:	☐Concur or ☐ Do	Not Concur (Do N	lot Concur shall document ba	sis for response)
	10			
e				

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Fresno	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date:
C.J. Swanberg		09/02/2009

	54. 100 f 34. Capacina 200 km (4.0 m)	
Required Action		
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE /2769
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	9/2/-9
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	12/4/05

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
424 GRAPEVINE I.F.	Central	CHP17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		09/22/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION	SUSPENSE DATE			
✓ Formal Evaluation ☐ Informal Evaluation			15	
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report Yes [] No BY	COMMANDER'S REVIEW SA UM	tzer	10 /10	9/09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	EVALUATED VES	ACTION REQUIRED YES	CORRECTED	
a. Does the command emphasize importance of proper enforcer incidence of injuries incurred by officers?		owest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforce	ement tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awarer	ness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Apsafety?	opraisals, contain comment	s on officer	☐ Yes	✓ No
b. Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforce proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment?		nods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents	s involving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level	of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the	reasons?			
197				
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	YES	NO	CORRECTED)
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received			✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of certifications been recorded for:				
(a) Searching techniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.	79		✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head operation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(2)	ls t	he command dedicating enough time toward training?		-	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current?	>	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure and sergeants?	timely recertification of a	all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area ploy	a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inv general observations to determine if proper enforcemen	estigations, personnel on tactics are being used	complaints, and I in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purp	oses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if a what level of force, is justified?	all uniformed personnel	understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citiz being made?	en complaints indicate	a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not p is made available?	proficient and ensure re	fresher training	Yes	□No
c.	ls re	efres	her training required prior to certification?			Yes	☑ No
((1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish	certification indicated or	the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	LEC		Yes	☑ No
			Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure to categories?	horough and continuou	s proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d. I	Doe	s the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
((1)	Is in	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
((2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program	?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of pro	ficiency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
((3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and e	quipment available for	officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
(-	4)	Wha	t is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	New OST beginning	to be implemented with a	nual OST	certification
((5)	Have	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper t	raining?	ACTION REQUIRED	✓ Yes	□ No
	ET	Y EQ	UIPMENT	Yes	No	CORRECTED	
SAF				uniformed nercennel o	aptain and below.		
a. Is			sin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all duty, in uniform?	umormea personnes, c		✓ Yes	□No
a. Is	vhile	on o				✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No
a. Is w	vhile 1)	s on o	duty, in uniform? C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notation	s made on booking she	eets when OC spray		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-				
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	✓ Ye	s 🗌 No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Ye	s 🗌 No
	b.	. Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Ye	s 🗌 No
		(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fa the safety strap with one hand?	sten ☑ Ye	s 🔲 No
		(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one	hand?	s 🗌 No
		(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	 ✓ Ye	s 🗌 No
	c.	Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	d.	Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
		(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	☐ Ye	s 🗸 No
		(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	e.	Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	((1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐ Ye	s 🗌 No
_		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	☐ Ye	s 🗌 No
	f. i	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Ye	s 🗌 No
	((1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Ye	s 🗌 No
	((2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
4.	FIRE	REARMS , EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRE NO	CORREC	TED
	a. I	Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	Ye:	s 🗌 No
	((1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
ł	o. <i>P</i>	Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	(′	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	(2	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	(3	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
C	. D	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	(1	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	s 🔲 No
	(2	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	(3	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	s 🗌 No
	(4	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	☐ Yes	s 🗹 No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□ No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	Yes	□No
	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?		□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g	. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

_				
	(3) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	☑ Yes	□No
	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	√ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	□Yes	☑ No
	(1	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(2	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	Yes	☑ No
	i. I f <i>A</i>	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? $\mathrm{N/A}$		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
	j. Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	Yes	☑ No
5.	PHYS	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED No.	CORRECTED	
	a. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	√ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	b. W	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		7.
	(1)	Control holds.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☐ Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	() () () () () () () () () ()				
c. V	Vere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	40		✓ Yes	□No
(Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative?	o is standing, kneeling	g, prone, or	✓ Yes	□ No
(2	2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of department	al policy on handcuffir	ng?	✓ Yes	□No
d. A	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offens	sive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
(1	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been revie	ewed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
(2	2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and tho	rough searches been	observed?	✓ Yes	□No
(3	B) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	ne opposite sex as out	tlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
. ENF	ORCEMENT TACTICS	Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
	to sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure f the five options of an enforcement stop?	es which should be fol	llowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
	o officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety pprehending suspected or known criminals?	during enforcement s	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed whethe situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard p		ability to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No.
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐Yes	□No
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
(+	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐ Yes	□No
	there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied a tuations?	gencies to prepare be	eat officers for hostage	☐Yes	☑ No
(1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of having jurisdiction?	of the incident until rel	lieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at	all times?		✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rer			✓ Yes	☐ No
(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine the hostage incidents?	mine their knowledge	of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
PURS	BUITS	Yes Yes	NO REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a. Ar	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	conduct of pursuits?	€,	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits listed in policy?	comply with enforcen	nent guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
	() 110	rtiona takon?		☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective ac	LIONS LAKEIT!			

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?		rán	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailo	red to the specific ne	eeds of the command?	☐ Yes	☑ No
8. F	ORC	IBLE STOPS	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a	. Are	e Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on fo	rcible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance	with policy?		☐Yes	□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, ha conducted?	s corrective action be	een taken or training	∐Yes	□No
9. F	ROAD	BLOCKS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
а		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for he hollow spike strip?	r establishing roadble	ocks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper met	hods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐Yes	☑ No
10.	RADI	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
а	Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b	Car	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their l	home Area to anothe	er Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Can	n officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from	the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

1(a)(3) - The officer's 100 Form supervisory comments do not include officer safety comments.

3(a)(1-3) - N/A

- 3(d) and 3(d)(1) Officers carry the ASP.
- 4(h) The Area does not have an ammunition officer who provides oversight and accountability to the armory inventory.
- 5(b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
GVIF	Central	17
Inspected by:	Date:	
D. Torres, 17497	9/22/2009	

Page 1 of 4

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forwar ont shall be utilized to docu	d to:" enter the nex ment innovative pra	I in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter it level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expended inspection: 3	on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:		
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection: 17				
Inspector's Comments Regar	dina In	novative Practices:	5 Jan 1 198 1	
mopostor o commente regui	anig ii	movative i radioco.		
		85		
Command Suggestions for St	atewid	e Improvement:		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	ī
GVIF	Central	17	
Inspected by:	.,,	Date:	Ī
D. Torres, 17	9/22/2009		

Page 2 of 4

Inspector's Findings:
The Area's range officer/training coordinator is responsible for inputting shooting records, inventorying the ammunition, ordering ammunition, and receiving ammunition. Policy requires there be a designated ammunition officer to provide accountability and oversight for the ammunition.
The Area's records indicate they are behind on the S&W TSW inspections.
The Area's 100 Forms lack supervisory comments regarding officer safety.
Commander's Response: Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 4

Command: GVIF	Division: Central	Chapter: 17
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17	497	Date: 9/22/2009

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
etc.)	

		21-61-51	
PA 0.5	uire	THE A PER	A THERESE
200	II IIIro/	$\alpha \wedge \alpha$	TIAN
1100	unc	\mathbf{I}	LIOII

Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

Item 1: Area has made the following changes effective immediately. Area's Range Officer is responsible for conducting monthly shoots and recording the information on the CHP 416, Monthly Record of Shoots, as well as returning unused ammunition to stock, and noted on the CHP 269, Record Ammunition. Area Training Sergeant is responsible for inputting shooting records. Area Range Sergeant is responsible for ordering ammunition, issuing ammunition for monthly shoots, and verification of ammunition inventory.

Item 2: Deficiency corrected. Area inspections of the S&W TSW are current. Area had not entered inspections into the ETRS. The Area Training Sergeant has entered the inspection dates into ETRS.

Item 3: Corrected. Area Sergeants have been instructed to add officer safety comments to monthly CHP 100 form comments. Area Commander will ensure compliance.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

P	а	a	е	4	of	4
	\sim	9	$\overline{}$		\sim	

Command: GVIF	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by:	Central	Date:	
D. Torres, 17	497	9/22/2009	

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	SA letze u	10/18/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/29/09
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	RÉVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
Concur Do not concur	m,1.	1, 171

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA

440 HANFORD Central

EVALUATED BY

D. Torres

DIVISION
Central
CHPTI7

DATE
08/11/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

Formal Evaluation	Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes [] No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW Dury Pull 1.		DATE /0/2	20/09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMEN	NT	YES.	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	1
Does the command em incidence of injuries incidence.	phasize importance of proper enfor curred by officers?		the lowest possible	[₹] Yes	[] No
(1) Does the command	der stress importance of proper enfo	proement tactics, including	g use of force?	√ Yes	[] No
(2) Does the safety red	(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awareness of proper tactics?				□ No
(3) Do the officers' CH safety?	P 100 and CHP 118s, Performance	Appraisals, contain com	ments on officer	∀es	□ No
	b. Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment?				□No
(1) Is this knowledge a	pplied properly in critiques of incide	nts involving officers and	sergeants?	✓ Yes	☐ No
(2) Do the captain and	lieutenants maintain a minimum lev	el of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend	officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not i	involved in officer safety, what are t	he reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFIC	ATION	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a. Do training records indic	cate formal training has been receiv	ed and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
	nnual certification of traffic officers thods of arrest, and the proper use ecorded for:				
(a) Searching tech	niques.			[<u>√</u>] Yes	[_] No
(b) Handcuffing.				√ Yes	[] No
(c) Use of safety e	quipment.			✓ Yes	□ No
(d) Suspect control	I.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and fe	elony stops.			✓ Yes	□ No
(f) Hostage control				✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transp	ortation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control h	ead operation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHE	4000	(IVEA	. 0-00) OF 1 009				
	(2)	ls t	the command dedicating enough time toward training?		*	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers an	d sergeants are cu	rrent?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure and sergeants?	timely recertification	on of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.			a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit in general observations to determine if proper enforceme			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Аге	well-handled incidents recorded for future training pur	poses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		e use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if I what level of force, is justified?	all uniformed pers	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citibeing made?	zen complaints ind	licate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not is made available?	proficient and ens	ure refresher training	√ Yes	□No
c,	ls re	efres	her training required prior to certification?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish	certification indica	ted on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure categories?	thorough and con	tinuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?			Yes	☑ No
50	(1)	Is in	nstructor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program	1?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of pro	oficiency is mainta	ined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and	equipment availab	le for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given	? The Area has n	ot completed or scheduled the	e OST day fo	r 2009. The
		Are	ea has one OST Trainer.				
	(5)	Have	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper	training?		☐ Yes	☑ No
s. SA	FET	YEQ	QUIPMENT	Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a.	Is Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, captain and below, while on duty, in uniform?					✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notation is utilized to subdue a subject?	ns made on booki	ng sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		en an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the spray on the CHP 121?	supervisors noting	the use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are i	individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontamina	ated by flushing th	e affected area with clear		□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

OI II	7000	(Nev. 0-00) Ci 1 000				
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline s	olution?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?				□No
b.	. Ar	e officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and wit the safety strap with one hand?	thout looking at	the holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
•	(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one a	nd a half secon	ds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons related exercises?	are unloaded p	rior to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Ar	e officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			☐Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton ted	chniques?		✓ Yes	□No
e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐ Yes	□No		
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examin	☐ Yes	□No		
f.		e holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, han pjectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisa	☐ Yes	☑ No □		
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	☐ Yes	☑ No		
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?			☐Yes	□No
4. FI	REA	RMS EVALUATI Yes	ED	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTE	
a.	На	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of	f firearms been	compiled with?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the	e policy?		✓ Yes	□ No
b.	Are	e shoots conducted as required by policy?		16	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? C	urrent?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, or	lay/night shoot	s, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Doe	es the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	☐ No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	*		✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities? Yes No (a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations? Yes No (b) Have future range needs been considered? Yes No (c) Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place? Yes No (d) Have shotguns been inventoried as required? Yes No (e) Are all shotguns accounted for? Yes No (b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? Yes No (c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition? Yes No (d) Are all tactical rifles accounted for? Yes No (e) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition? Yes No (d) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? Yes No (e) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? Yes No (b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? Yes No (c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? Yes No (d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? Yes No (d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? Yes No (e) Beginning inventory determined? Yes No (b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? Yes No (d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? Yes No (e) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? Yes No (f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters? Yes No (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? Yes No (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt according to the detaring tube? Yes No (f) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accid	d	. Are ra	nge facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Have future range needs been considered?		(1) If	not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐Yes	□No
e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place? (1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required? (2) Yes		(a	Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required? (a) Are all shotguns accounted for? (b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition? (d) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required? (e) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required? (f) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required? (g) Have tactical rifles accounted for? (g) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (g) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? (g) Beginning inventory determined? (g) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (g) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (g) Has the physical inventory of ammunition records been determined? (g) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Yes No (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Yes No (g) Are weapons training firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube? (g) Yes No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required p		(b	Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
(a) Are all shotguns accounted for? (b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition? (d) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required? (e) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required? (f) Have tactical rifles accounted for? (g) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (g) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? (g) Beginning inventory determined? (g) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (g) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (g) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition been taken? (g) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical process are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined (g) Yes No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as	е	. Is an e	ffective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition? (d) Have tactical rifles been inventoriad as required? (e) Have tactical rifles accounted for? (f) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (g) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is the an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is the an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is the an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is the an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is the an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is the an effective method for assignment and control? (g) Is the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (g) Is the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition provides and provides a large and provides a large and provides a large and provides a large and provides and provides a large and provides and provides a large and provides		(1) H	ve shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition? (2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required? (3) Are all tactical rifles accounted for? (b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? (d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (3) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (3) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (4) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (5) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (6) Has the real procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? (a) Beginning inventory determined? (b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (e) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (f) Have rounds issued per training records been determined? (g) Has the physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (g) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (g) No (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) No (1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined (g) Yes No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Ha		(a	Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required? (a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for? (b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? (d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? (a) Beginning inventory determined? (b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition (c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (g) Ves \ \text{No} (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined (g) Yes \ \text{No} (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined (g) Yes \ \text{No} (g) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted? (g) Yes \ \text{No} (g) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	ľ	(b	Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for? (b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? (d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? (a) Beginning inventory determined? (b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined (r) Yes No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined Yes No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined Yes No No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined Yes No No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined Yes No No No No No No No N		(c)	Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	☐ Yes	✓ No
(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required? (c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers? (d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? (3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? (a) Beginning inventory determined? (b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?		(2) Ha	ve tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?		(a)	Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control? Yes No		(b)	Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken? (a) Beginning inventory determined? (b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) Possible of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined Pyes No		(c)	Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
Yes No		(d)	Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined? (c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) Be policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube? (g) Pes No (g) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (g) Yes No				✓ Yes	□No
been determined? (c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined? (d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (g) Has policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube? (g) Has policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube? (g) Yes No (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (g) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?		(a)	Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken? (e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record? (f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters? (g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested? (h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? (l) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? (l) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? (l) Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (l) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted? (l) Pes No		(b)		✓ Yes	□No
(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?		(c)	Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?		(d)	Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?		(e)	Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?		(f)	Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery? f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube? Yes No 1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? Yes No 9. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? Yes No 1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted? Yes No		(g)	Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? ☑ Yes ☐ No g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? ☑ Yes ☐ No (1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted? ☑ Yes ☐ No		(h)	quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt	✓ Yes	□No
accidental discharge? g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted? ✓ Yes □ No	f.	Is polic	adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information? (1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted? Yes No				✓ Yes	□No
	g.			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do training dates correspond to the policity information on the employee's CHR 4152		(1) Do	the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHF 410?		(2) Do	training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunitio records)?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) of (per the shooting roster)?	Il amount expended	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunit	ion verified for those tra	ining days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	9		✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETR	S?		✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establis	hed guidelines and instr	ructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertidate, etc.)?	nent information (type o	f shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	∐No
h.		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processi volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventor		isition is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	cording weapons training	g information is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records I supervisor or backup employee?	imited to the ammunitio	n officer and	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to en	sure weapons training o	of RP officers? N/A		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procede	ures in place?		☐ Yes	□No
j.	. Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?					□No
	(1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?					
-	` ′	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted eve	ry six months?		✓ Yes	□ No
5. P		Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted eve	ry six months? EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	✓ Yes	
5. P a.	HYSI		EVALUATED	PARTITION WEDSTANDER		
	HYSI Do	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes Yes	PARTITION WEDSTANDER	CORRECTED)
	Do (1)	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense?	Yes Yes	No	CORRECTED ✓ Yes	□ No
	Do (1)	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	Yes arized themselves with	No	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No
	Do (1) (2) We	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiar	Yes arized themselves with	No	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No
	Do (1) (2) We (1)	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiared demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers.	Yes arized themselves with	No	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	No No No
	Do (1) (2) We (1) (2)	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds.	Yes arized themselves with	No	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No V No
	Do (1) (2) We (1) (2) (3)	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches.	Yes arized themselves with	No	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No No No
	Do (1) (2) We (1) (2) (3)	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks.	Yes arized themselves with	No	✓ Yes	No
	Do (1) (2) We (1) (2) (3) (4)	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks. Defensive kicks.	Yes arized themselves with	No	✓ Yes	No
	Do (1) (2) Wee (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks. Defensive kicks. Defenses against grabs.	Yes arized themselves with	No	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	No
	Do (1) (2) Wee (1) (3) (4) (5) (6)	officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks. Defenses against grabs. Defenses against weapons.	Yes arized themselves with	No	res	No
	Do (1) (2) We (1) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)	ICAL METHODS OF ARREST officers practice weaponless defense? Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiare demonstrations of the following control techniques by office Control holds. Punches. Strikes. Blocks. Defensive kicks. Defenses against grabs. Defenses against weapons.	Yes arized themselves with	No	res	No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CIM	7000	5 (INEV. 0-00) OI 1 003	×			
С	W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative?	rone, or	✓ Yes	□No	
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmenta		✓ Yes	□No	
d	d. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?					□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review	wed?		Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thor	ough searches been ob	served?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?					□No
). E	NFO	PRCEMENT TACTICS	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	XII
a	Do of	o sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure the five options of an enforcement stop?	s which should be follow	ved during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.	 Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? 					□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed wh the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard pr	oility to safely control	☐ Yes	✓ No	
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?					□No
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?				☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?		☐ Yes	□No	
C.	c. Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?					✓ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of having jurisdiction?	of the incident until reliev	red by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at	all times?		√ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain pegress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and ren			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determ hostage incidents?	nine their knowledge of	the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
PI	JRS	UITS	Yes Yes	NO REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a.	Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	conduct of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No
(÷	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits listed in policy?	comply with enforcement	nt guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective act	tions taken?		☐ Yes	□No
b.		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure prope suits?	r coordination with allie	d agencies during	□Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

(1) Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No		
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?	Yes	✓ No				
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command?						
ORCIBLE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED			
. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on for	cible stops?		✓ Yes	□No		
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?			√ Yes	□No		
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance v	with policy?		, ✓ Yes	□No		
(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	s corrective action b	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No		
ROADBLOCKS	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	ka — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		
. Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for of the hollow spike strip?	establishing roadbl	ocks and deployment	☐Yes	☑ No		
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?	=		☐ Yes	✓ No		
(2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper meth	ods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No		
(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐ Yes	✓ No		
RADIO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED .	CORRECTED)		
. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No		
b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their home Area to another Area/Division?						
		c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the radio head?				
	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailor ORCIBLE STOPS Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on for (1) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted? OADBLOCKS Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for of the hollow spike strip? (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods. Have interagency training sessions been conducted?	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific not oncorrect to the stops? (4) Does the Area follow departmental policy? (5) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy? (6) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action be conducted? (7) Does the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadbly of the hollow spike strip? (8) Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadbly of the hollow spike strip? (9) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing that the proper method is the proper method of the proper method of establishing that the proper method is the proper method of establishing that the proper method is the proper method of establishing that the proper method is the proper method of establishing that the proper method is the proper method of establishing that the proper method is the proper method of the proper method is the proper method in the proper method is the proper method in the proper method is the proper method in the proper method in the proper method is the proper method in the proper method in the proper method is the proper method in the proper method in the proper method is the proper method in the p	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? ORCIBLE STOPS EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No ACTION REQUIRED No ACTION REQUIRED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No ACTION REQUIRED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No ACTION REQUIRED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for establishing roadblocks and deployment of the hollow spike strip? (1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined? (2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper methods of establishing roadblocks? (3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted? RADIO FAMILIARIZATION EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED No	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process? (3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command? (ACTION REQUIRED NO		

- 2(d) The Area has one OST instructor and is currently trying to train another.
- 3(d) and 3(d)(1) The officers carry the ASP.
- 3(f) and (f)(1) The Area completes the 311's annually, however, they are not in conjunction with the CHP118.
- 4(e)(1)(b) The Area is behind on its shotgun inspections and annual firing.
- 4(e)(2)(b) The Area is behind on its rifle inspections.
- 5(b) Not observed due to lack of available personnel for inspection.
- 6(b)(1) Not observed due to lack of available personnel for inspection.
- 6(b)(2) No coordination with allied agencies.
- 7(a)(3)(a) N/A

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Hanford	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date:
D. Torres, 1749	8/11/2009	

Page 1 of 3

		*	
number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspecti docume	on number. Under "Forward to:" enter the ent shall be utilized to document innovative.	, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter e next level of command where the document ve practices, suggestions for statewide ay be used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command I ☐ Executive Office Level	_evel	Total hours expended on the inspection: 3.5	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included☑ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:	
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:	
Chapter Inspection: 17			
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding lı	nnovative Practices:	
c .			
Command Suggestions for St	tatewic	le Improvement:	
		,	

None.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

	110110	DOCON
Page 2	of 3	

	110119	DOCUMENT	
Page 2	of 3		

Command: Hanford	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: D. Torres, 1749	7	Date: 8/11/2009

Inspector's Findings:
The Area is behind on its quarterly shotgun and rifle inspections. The Area is also behind on its primary
firearm annual and "field strip" inspections.
The Area has not conducted or scheduled its OST days for 2009. Area has one OST instructor and is
currently trying to get another officer scheduled for the OST Trainer course.
The Area is behind on its annual 311 inspections.
Commander's Posnense: M Conquir or Do Not Conquir (Do Not Conquir basis for recession)
Commander's Response: Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
Loopour with oook of the lage state for the well-to delice.
concur with each of the Inspector's findings listed above.
*
*
£
à â
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Hanford	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 17	497	. Date: 8/11/2009	

(4) 2017年第23年2月15日5日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3日3	公司法国经济的 医克里氏 计图 医二种	在上海 的战队队内的战场后为外战争。
Required Action		
		included the Line of the control of
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		

Effective on November 1, 2009, the Area will begin utilizing a Training Officer who will be responsible for scheduling and coordinating the completion of all required quarterly shotgun and rifle inspections as well as all annual and "field strip" inspections of our primary firearms. The completion of these inspections will be verified by the Area Training Sergeant.

The Area conducted its first Officer Safety Training (OST) day on October 7, 2009. Area's second OST day is scheduled for October 28, 2009. Half of all Area personnel were trained during the first OST day and the remainder will be trained on October 28, 2009.

From October 12 – 23, 2009, Officer Robert Lawson, ID 14567, received training and was certified as an OST instructor at the CHP Academy. With the addition of a second OST instructor in the Area, our OST program should be much more effective.

Area sergeants will ensure CHP 311 inspections are completed in conjunction with each officer's annual evaluation.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE Dus Tuble, LT.	10/26/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/29/29
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	16/4/09

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL
AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Los Banos 461	Central 401	CH. 17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Vaccarezza		09/21/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report BY	COMMANDER'S REVIEW W. D.A.	6	10/	14/09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	EVALUATED ES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Does the command emphasize importance of proper enforceme incidence of injuries incurred by officers?	ent tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcer	ment tactics, including use	e of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awarene	ess of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance App safety?	oraisals, contain comment	s on officer	 ✓Yes	□No
b. Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcen proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment?	ment tactics, physical met	hods of arrest,	☑ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents in	involving officers and serg	eants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of	f enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?				□No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the re	easons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received a	and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of sa certifications been recorded for:				
(a) Searching techniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation.	,31 14	ė.	✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head operation.		,	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_								
		(2)	ls t	he command dedicating enough time toward training?	,		✓ Yes	□ No
			(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
			(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure tir and sergeants?	mely recertification of all	officers	✓ Yes	□No
-	b.			a supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit invegeneral observations to determine if proper enforcement			✓ Yes	□No
		(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
		(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all what level of force, is justified?	l uniformed personnel ur	nderstand when,	✓ Yes	□ No
			(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	en complaints indicate a	through review is	✓ Yes	□No
			(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pris made available?	oficient and ensure refre	esher training	✓ Yes	□No
	c.	ls re	efres	her training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	✓ No
		(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish co	ertification indicated on t	he CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
			(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	☑ No
				Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure th categories?	orough and continuous	proficiency in all	☐ Yes	□No
(d.	Doe	s the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		(1)	Is in	structor proficiency maintained?		*2	✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	□No
			(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of profic	ciency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
		(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and eq	uipment available for of	ficer safety training?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	((4)	Wha	t is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	Area is having eight ho	ur OST training days.	The Area n	eeds to send
			mor	e officers to OST instructor school to reach the one to e	ight instructor to studen	t ratio. The Area needs	s gloves and	d bags to
			prac	tice personal weapons strikes with.				
	((5)	Have	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tra	aining?	ш	✓ Yes	□No
. s	AF	ΈΤ\	/ EQ	UIPMENT	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	0
а				sin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all u duty, in uniform?	niformed personnel, cap	otain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations sutilized to subdue a subject?	made on booking shee	ts when OC spray <i>VIA</i>	☐ Yes	□No
	(:	2) \		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the suspray on the CHP 121?	pervisors noting the use	e/nonuse of OC	☐ Yes	□No
	(:	3) /		ndividuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate vater within 30 minutes?	d by flushing the affecte	ed area with clear	☐ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

0111	100	(1.61. 0.65) 61.1.000				
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bo	ttles of saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontam	ination and first-aid procedur	e?	✓ Yes	□No
b	. A	e officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their dut	ty holsters?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, rethe safety strap with one hand?	-holster and without looking a	at the holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapon	ns within one and a half seco	nds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer the related exercises?	at all weapons are unloaded	prior to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Ar	e officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons	s?		✓ Yes	□No
d.	. Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforce	ment stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate appr	roved baton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	(4)		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services U armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type		nts where body	☐ Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete p	physical examination?	VIA	☐ Yes	□No
f.		holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, jectors inspected in conjunction with the annual perform		nd OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the insp	ection?		Yes	□No
4. FI	REA	RMS	Yes EVALUATED	No REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a.	На	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating	ng discharge of firearms bee	n compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper unde	rstanding of the policy?		Yes	□No
b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies	?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily	y available? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorize	ed weapons, day/night shoo	ts, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Doe	s the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range	officers?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			√ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

(d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
_	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
-	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	✓ Yes	□No
E	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	√ Yes	☐ No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected,		×
	quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunit records)?	ion was issued for t	raining (per inventory	√ Yes	□No
	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) (per the shooting roster)?	compared with the	actual amount expended	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammur	ition verified for the	ose training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(5	Are records kept updated as training takes place?		3	✓ Yes	□No
	(6	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ET	RS?		✓ Yes	□No
	(7	Is required information recorded in accordance with establi	shed guidelines an	d instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all per date, etc.)?	tinent information (type of shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No
h.		there a procedure in place which ensures the person process volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventor		requisition is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person reinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	cording weapons t	raining information is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records supervisor or backup employee?	limited to the amm	unition officer and	✓ Yes	□No
i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to e	nsure weapons trai	ning of RP officers?		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proced	dures in place?		☐ Yes	□No
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annu	al CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ev	ery six months?		✓ Yes	□No
5. PI	HYS	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
а.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?		H H	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly fami	liarized themselves	with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	☐ No
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by office	cers observed:	2		
	(1)	Control holds.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			☐ Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

OHI	7000	5 (1.c.v. 0.00) C1 1 000				
C.	W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			√ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative?					□No
	(2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?					□No
d.	Ar	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offen	✓ Yes	□No		
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been revie	ewed?		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and the	orough searches be	en observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	ne opposite sex as o	outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	NFO	PRCEMENT TACTICS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.		sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure the five options of an enforcement stop?	es which should be	followed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.	b. Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals?					□No
	(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?					☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□ No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐ Yes	□No
C.		there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied a uations?	agencies to prepare	beat officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment having jurisdiction?	of the incident until	relieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at	all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rer	potential witnesses nder necessary med	, control ingress and lical aid?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to detern hostage incidents?	mine their knowledg	ge of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
. PL	IRSI	UITS	EVALUATED Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the		6?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?	×		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits listed in policy?	comply with enforce	ement guidelines	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective ac	ctions taken?		☐Yes	□No
b.	The state of the s				☐ Yes	✓ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

(1) Are any written agreements on file?			☐Yes	✓ No
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?			☐ Yes	✓ No
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training gui	de tailored to the specific ne	eds of the command?	☐ Yes	✓ No
8. FORCIBLE STOPS	EVALUATED Yes	No No	CORRECTED	
a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the police	y on forcible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?				□No
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for comp	pliance with policy?		☐ Yes	✓ No
(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied v conducted?	vith, has corrective action be	een taken or training	☐Yes	□No
9. ROADBLOCKS	evaluated Yes	No No	CORRECTED)
 a. Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop p of the hollow spike strip? 				
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments of	utlined?		☐ Yes	☑ No
(2) Have the officers received instructions on the prop	er methods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted	ed?		Yes	☑ No
10. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION	0. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION Yes ACTION REQUIRED			
a. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control	✓ Yes	□No		
b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from	✓ Yes	□No		
c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipme	nt from the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

The area needs to send more qualified officers to OST instructor school.

Section 3. OC us carried by all uniformed personnel but no use of OC was recorded for the time period being evaluated.

Section 5b(1)-(9) Not observed due to time constraints and lack of OST equipment. Topics discussed with participating Officers.

Section 6. No enforcement contacts were observed due to time constraints. Topics were discussed with Officers.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Los Banos	Central	17	
inspected by:		Date	
Vaccarezza 1	7286	09/21/2009:	

Page 1 of 4

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, cor	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	rard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	I in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter at level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command L Executive Office Level	.evel	Total hours expende inspection: 4	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:		
⊠ Yes □ No	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection:			MSE 新作品基础	
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices	S:	
Command Suggestions for St	tatewic	de Improvement:		
		2		
Inspector's Findings:				
The area needs 3 additional O	ST ins	structors.		
gr.				
				*
			4	€
Commander's Response: ⊠	Concu	ır or 🗌 Do Not Co	ncur (Do Not Cond	cur shall document basis for response)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 4

Command: Los Banos	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: Vaccarezza 1	7286	Date 09/21/2009:

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., fir	ndings revised, findings unchanged,
etc.)		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 4

Command: Los Banos	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: Vaccarezza 1	7286	Date 09/21/2009:

NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

The Los Banos will add additional OST Instructors as soon as OST Instructor classes are available.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	10/14/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE /24/09
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER SIGNATURE	12/7/08

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 4 of 4

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Los Banos	Central	17
Inspected by:		Date
Vaccarezza 1	7286	09/21/2009:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER		
450 MADERA	Central	CH 17		
EVALUATED BY		DATE		
C.J. Swanberg		08/26/2009		

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
COLLOWING DECUMPED	COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
☐ Correction Report ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ BY	DD	ul'	CORRECTED	20/09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	YES	EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO		
 Does the command emphasize importance of proper enforceme incidence of injuries incurred by officers? 	ent tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcem	nent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awareness	ss of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Applications safety?	raisals, contain comment	s on officer	✓ Yes	□No
 Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcer proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment? 	nent tactics, physical met	hods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents in	nvolving officers and serg	eants?	Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of	enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the re	easons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received a	nd certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of sa certifications been recorded for:	sergeants for proficiency afety equipment (use of fo	in enforcement orce)? Have		
(a) Searching techniques.	1 20		✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.			✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control head operation.			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

OLIF	7000	(IVEA	0-00) OF 1 009				
	(2)	Is th	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	d sergeants are c	urrent?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure and sergeants?	timely recertificati	on of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inv general observations to determine if proper enforceme			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purp	oses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if what level of force, is justified?	all uniformed pers	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citibeing made?	zen complaints in	dicate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not is made available?	proficient and ens	ure refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls re	efresl	ner training required prior to certification?			☐Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish	certification indica	ited on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	☑ No
			Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure categories?	thorough and con	tinuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	s the	command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is in:	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program	1?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of pro	oficiency is mainta	ined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are t	here adequate and properly maintained facilities and o	equipment availab	le for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	t is the quality and quantity of the training being given	? The Area has n	ot completed the OST day for	2009.	
	(5)	Have	the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper	training?	-	✓ Yes	□No
. SA	FET	Y EQ	UIPMENT	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
a.			sin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by al duty, in uniform?	l uniformed perso	nnel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)		S spray used when the need is indicated? Are notatios utilized to subdue a subject?	ns made on book	ng sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the epray on the CHP 121?	supervisors noting	the use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Are individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminated by flushing the affected area with clear water within 30 minutes?			Yes	□No		
					8		

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
b	. Ar	re officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the ho the safety strap with one hand?	olster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, us	ing one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to related exercises?	holster-	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Are	re officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do	Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			□No
	(1)) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	1	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
e.	Do	o all uniformed personnel wear body armor?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents who armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	ere body	Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	* 	Yes	□No
f.		re holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC ojectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?		☐Yes	□No
4. FI	REA	ARMS EVALUATED ACTIV	ON REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	На	as the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been comp	iled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?		✓ Yes	□ No
b.	Are	e shoots conducted as required by policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.	?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Doe	bes the Area have a range officer?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?		✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	ě	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

d	. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	Yes	□No
е	. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	√ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?		□No
	。(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?		□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	Ves	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?		□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□ No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

-				
	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
_		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5	i) Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6	s) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
	h. Is in	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
	i. If A	Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A		
	(1) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
	j. Are	e required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	☐ Yes	√ No
5.	PHYS	SICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes No	CORRECTED	
	a. Do	o officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	b. W	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	☐ Yes	√ No
	(2)	Punches.	☐Yes	✓ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☐ Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

HF 400	33 (Rev. 6-00) OPI 009					
c. V	Vere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?		54	✓ Yes	□No	
(1	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is stan uncooperative?	one, or	✓ Yes	□No		
(2	2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy		✓ Yes	□No		
d. A	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive wea	apons?		✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?			☐ Yes	 ✓ No	
(2	2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough s	earches been ob	served?	✓ Yes	□No	
(3	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the oppos	site sex as outline	d in policy?	✓ Yes	□No	
ENF	ORCEMENT TACTICS Yes	IATED	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED		
	o sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which fithe five options of an enforcement stop?	n should be follow	red during each	✓ Yes	□No	
	o officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during opprehending suspected or known criminals?	enforcement stop	s and when	✓ Yes	□No	
(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which sho the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presente		ility to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No	
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□ No	
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No	
	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐ Yes	□No	
	there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies tuations?	officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No		
(1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the in having jurisdiction?	cident until reliev	ed by the authority	✓ Yes	□No	
(2	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all time		✓ Yes	□No		
(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary			✓ Yes	□No	
(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine the hostage incidents?	eir knowledge of t	he CHP role in	☐ Yes	□No	
PURS	EVALU Yes	ATED	No REQUIRED	CORRECTE)	
a. Ar	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduc	t of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No	
(1)	Number of units?				□No	
(2)	When to discontinue?	When to discontinue?				
(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply listed in policy?	with enforcemen	t guidelines	✓ Yes	□No	
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions ta	ken?		☐ Yes	□No	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

CMP 4535 (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
(1) Are any written agreements on file?			Yes	☑ No
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?			☐ Yes	✓ No
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guid	de tailored to the specific ne	eds of the command?	☐Yes	☑ No
. FORCIBLE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	¥
a. Are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy	✓ Yes	□No		
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for comp	liance with policy?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied w conducted?	rith, has corrective action be	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
ROADBLOCKS	Yes.	No No	CORRECTED	
 Has the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plant of the hollow spike strip? 	☐Yes	✓ No		
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments ou	tlined?		☐ Yes	✓ No
(2) Have the officers received instructions on the prope	er methods of establishing	roadblocks?		□No
(3) Have interagency training sessions been conducted	d?		☐ Yes	✓ No
D. RADIO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	No No	CORRECTE)
a. Are officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control	head?		✓ Yes	□No
b. Can officers demonstrate how to change the radio from	their home Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□ No
c. Can officers efficiently operate all emergency equipmen	nt from the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No
he Area has not had an OST day for 2009. The Area has do	ne an outstanding job with	weapons cleaning and kee	ping up with I	ETRS. Are
aining coordinator was very knowledgeable.	79			
n enforcement stop was not made due to the limited number	of personnel.			

Section 5: Physical methods of arrest

Section B, 1-9

Due to the limited number of available personnel and equipment this section was not evaluated.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Ρ	ag	е	1	of	3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Madera	Central	17
Inspected by: C.J. Swanberg		Date: 8/26/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	Inspection de la compe	on number. Under "Forw nt shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pr	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter of level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide wased if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command I ☐ Executive Office Level	_evel	Total hours expended inspection: 2.5	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	Forwar			
Chapter Inspection: 17 Inspector's Comments Rega	rding In	novative Practices) S:	

Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:	

NONE AT TIME TIME

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Madera	Division: Central	Chapter: 17
Inspected by: C.J. Swanber	q =	Date: 8/26/2009

Inenector's Fin	dings:					w		
The Area has n	nspector's Findings: he Area has not had an OST day for 2009. The Area has done an outstanding job with weapons eaning and keeping up with ETRS. Area training coordinator was very knowledgeable.							
9				•				
		2)						
Commander's	Response: [☑ Concur or	□ Do No	t Concur (Do	Not Concu	r shall docur	nent basis fo	r response)
	€							
								ğ

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,

CHP 680A (Rev. 02-09) OPI 010

etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Madera	Central	17	
Inspected by:		Date:	
C.J. Swanbe	erg	8/26/2009	

Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.		\ \ \
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	Tax ai	10/20/09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	of the second se	
		10/20/07
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee		
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	El Corpiu	12/7/08
	of Journey	1774

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
455 MARIPOSA	Central	CHIT
EVALUATED BY		DATE
C.J. Swanberg		07/30/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION	SUSPENSE DATE			
		\supset .		
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Correction Report Yes No BY	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	bel	10/-	28/09
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	107
Does the command emphasize importance of proper enforceme incidence of injuries incurred by officers?	ent tactics to achieve the lo	west possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper enforcement	nent tactics, including use	of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an awareness	ss of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□ No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Applications safety?	raisals, contain comments	on officer	✓ Yes	□No
b. Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of enforcem proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipment?	nent tactics, physical metho	ods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of incidents in	nvolving officers and serge	ants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum level of	enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what are the re	asons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	WES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	X
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been received as	nd certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic officers and s tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper use of sa certifications been recorded for:				
(a) Searching techniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.			✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			✓ Yes	□ No
(d) Suspect control.			✓ Yes	□ No
(e) High risk and felony stops.			✓ Yes	□ No
(f) Hostage control.			✓ Yes	□ No
(g) Prisoner transportation.			✓ Yes	□ No
(h) Radio control head operation.			✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(2)	Is the command dedicating enough time toward training?		□No
		(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers and sergeants are current?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure timely recertification of all officers and sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
b.		Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit investigations, personnel complaints, and oloy general observations to determine if proper enforcement tactics are being used in the Area?	d ☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training purposes?	✓ Yes	□No
		Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all uniformed personnel understand wland what level of force, is justified?	nen, ✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citizen complaints indicate a through revibeing made?	ew is Ves	□No
	((b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not proficient and ensure refresher training is made available?	y ☑ Yes	□No
C.	ls ref	fresher training required prior to certification?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270	?	□No
	((a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	((b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency i categories?	n all ☑ Yes	□No
d.	Does	s the command have an adequate number of instructors?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) I	Is instructor proficiency maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) ł	Has an individual been given responsibility for the program?	✓ Yes	□No
	((a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained?	✓ Yes	□No
4	(3) A	Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety t	raining?	□No
((4) V	What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? The Area has not completed the OS	T day for 2009.	
	/F\ I			□No
80-1		Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training? EVALUATED ACTION REQU.	✓ Yes correcte	
SAF	FETY	YEQUIPMENT Yes No		
		eoresin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uniformed personnel, captain and be on duty, in uniform?	low, ✓ Yes	□No
,	(1) Is	s OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations made on booking sheets when OC is utilized to subdue a subject?	spray ✓ Yes	□No
(When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of	oc	
	(2) V	spray on the CHP 121?	✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bot	tles of saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontami	nation and first-aid procedure	?	✓ Yes	□No
	b. A	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty	y holsters?		✓ Yes	□No
	('	 Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re- the safety strap with one hand? 	holster and without looking at	the holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapon	s within one and a half secon	ds, using one hand?	Yes	□No
	(3	3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that related exercises?	at all weapons are unloaded p	rior to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
	c. A	re officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons	5?		✓ Yes	□No
	d. D	o officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcer	ment stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate appro	oved baton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
	e. D	o all uniformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Usermor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type is		ts where body	☐ Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete pl	hysical examination? 자/요		☐Yes	□No
1		re holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, rojectors inspected in conjunction with the annual perform		d OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspe	ection?		☐ Yes	□No
4. I	FIRE	ARMS	Yes EVALUATED	No No	CORRECTE	0
á	a. H	as the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating	g discharge of firearms been	compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
×	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper under	rstanding of the policy?		✓ Yes	☐ No
Ł	. Ar	re shoots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily	available? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)) Do training records show qualification with all authorize	ed weapons, day/night shoots	, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
С	. Do	pes the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range of	officers?	TX.		□No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?		У	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□No
		u u				

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_			
	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
=	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐Yes	□No
	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?		□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	on ☑ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?		□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	 Yes	□No
	f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of a accidental discharge?	n ☑ Yes	□No
	g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_						
	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunit records)?	tion was issued for tra	aining (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No
	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records (per the shooting roster)?) compared with the a	actual amount expended	√ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammur	nition verified for thos	e training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5	Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ET	RS?		✓ Yes	□No
	(7	Is required information recorded in accordance with establ	ished guidelines and	instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pe date, etc.)?	rtinent information (ty	pe of shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person proces volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition invent		requisition is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(1	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person reinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	ecording weapons tra	ining information is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records supervisor or backup employee?	s limited to the ammu	nition officer and	✓ Yes	□No
J	. If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to e	nsure weapons traini	ng of RP officers? N/A		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proce	dures in place?		☐ Yes	□No
j	. Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annu	ual CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ev	ery six months?		☐ Yes	☑ No
5. I	PHYS	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes Yes	No No	CORRECTE)
a	a. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly fam	iliarized themselves	with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No
k	. We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by offi	icers observed:			
	(1)	Control holds.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.			Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.	9		Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.			☐Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.			☐Yes	⊘ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			Yes	✓ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

0711	4000 (Nev. 0-00) Of 1 000					
	(1) Are any written agreen	nents on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) Is Division involved in	the planning process?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3) Does the Area have ar	nd use a pursuit training guide	e tailored to the specific ne	eds of the command?	☐ Yes	✓ No
8. F	FORCIBLE STOPS		Yes EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
а	. Are Area personnel knowle	edgeable regarding the policy	on forcible stops?	(4)5	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does the Area follow d	lepartmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have forcible stop repo	orts been reviewed for compli	ance with policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) If forcible stop policonducted?	cy has not been complied wit	th, has corrective action be	een taken or training		□No
9. R	ROADBLOCKS		Yes Yes	No No	CORRECTED	li e
a.	. Has the Area worked with a of the hollow spike strip?	allied agencies to develop pla	ns for establishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1) Are strategic points and	d personnel assignments out	lined?		Yes	☑ No
	(2) Have the officers receive	ved instructions on the prope	r methods of establishing i	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Have interagency train	ing sessions been conducted	?		☐ Yes	✓ No
10. I	RADIO FAMILIARIZATION		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.	Are officers familiar with all	aspects of the radio control h	nead?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Can officers demonstrate h	ow to change the radio from	their home Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□ No
-			from the radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

The Area could use a more secure device for storing extra weapons and ammunition. The PMA that was performed had to be repeated to ensure the minimum level was met. The Area is inspecting all firearms on an annual basis.

An enforcement stop was not made due to the lack of personnel.

Section 5: Physical methods of arrest

Section B, 1-9

Due to the limited number of available personnel and equipment this section was not evaluated.

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP	4538	S (Rev	v. 6-06) OPI 009			19	
С	. W	ere o	bservations of practical handcuffing techniques made?		y .	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)		an officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who i cooperative?	s standing, kneeling	j, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)) Are	e all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental	policy on handcuffir	ng?	✓ Yes	□No
d	. Ar	re all p	persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensiv	e weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Ha	s the local jåil's experience with CHP arrests been review	ed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)) Ha	s a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thoro	ugh searches been	observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)) Do	all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	opposite sex as out	lined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
E	NFO	RCE	MENT TACTICS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а			geants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures ive options of an enforcement stop?	which should be fol	llowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.			cers have a constant awareness of their personal safety dending suspected or known criminals?	uring enforcement s	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		ere demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed whice situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard pre		ability to safely control	□Yes	☑ No
		(a)	Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐Yes	□No
		(b)	Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□ No
		(c)	Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐Yes	□No
c.		there uatior	evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agens?	encies to prepare be	eat officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		officers understand their role is limited to containment of ving jurisdiction?	the incident until rel	lieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are	e officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at a	Il times?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Are egre	e officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain po ess to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rend	otential witnesses, c er necessary medic	control ingress and al aid?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)		re various officers and supervisors questioned to determinating incidents?	ne their knowledge	of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
P	JRS	UITS		Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	e all u	uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the c	onduct of pursuits?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Nun	mber of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Whe	en to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		re pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits c	omply with enforcer	ment guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
_		(a)	Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective action	ons taken?		☐ Yes	□ No
b.	Do	es the	e Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper	coordination with a	llied agencies during		□No

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Division:	Chapter:
Central	
	Date:
17052	07/30/2009
	Central

Page 1 of 3

	Inspection de la composition della composition d	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command I ☐ Executive Office Level	_evel	Total hours expended inspection: 2.5	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:		
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection: 17 Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	novative Practices		
Command Suggestions for St	tatewid	le Improvement:		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Visalia	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: C.J. Swanberg,	17052	Date: 07/30/2009

Page 2 of 3

Inspector's Findings:	
The Area could use a more secure area for its extra firearms and ammunition.	The PMA that was
performed had to be repeated to ensure the minimum level was met. The Area	is inspecting all firearms
on an annual basis.	ē.

Commander's Response: Concur or Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
The Mariposa Area is having their annual Physical Methods of Arrest (PMA) refresher during the
December Training day. The Management team will continue to reiterate the importance of maintaining
efficiency in this area of training. Besides SROVT, the Area will discuss PMA during briefings, and
training days. This is type of training is critical to the welfare and safety of our personnel.

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Mariposa	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by: C.J. Swanberg,	17052	Date: 07/30/2009

Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	To 1 HAV	10/29/00
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	e. M. troops	16/-0/07
6	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE /
		/07
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee	1/ X	
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	1 State	lin 12/7/69

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Merced 460	Central 401	Ch. 17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
Vaccarezza 17286	5	09/16/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes No BY	COMMANDER'S REVIEW Chy Joy Capi. S. BADICLIP.	DATE ///24/09 CORRECTED		
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMENT	Yes Action required	CONNECTED		
Does the command emphasize importance of proper enfincidence of injuries incurred by officers?	forcement tactics to achieve the lowest possible			
(1) Does the commander stress importance of proper el	nforcement tactics, including use of force?	☑ Yes ☐ No		
(2) Does the safety record of the command reflect an av	wareness of proper tactics?	✓ Yes ☐ No		
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performan safety?	ce Appraisals, contain comments on officer	☐ Yes ☑ No		
 Are the commander and lieutenants knowledgeable of en proper use of force, and the correct use of safety equipm 	nforcement tactics, physical methods of arrest, nent?	✓ Yes □ No		
(1) Is this knowledge applied properly in critiques of inci	idents involving officers and sergeants?	☑ Yes □ No		
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants maintain a minimum	level of enforcement skills?	✓ Yes □ No		
(a) Do they attend officer safety training sessions?	-	✓ Yes		
(b) If they are not involved in officer safety, what ar	e the reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION	evaluated action required Yes No	CORRECTED		
a. Do training records indicate formal training has been rec	eived and certified?	✓ Yes □ No		
(1) Do records reflect annual certification of traffic office tactics, physical methods of arrest, and the proper u- certifications been recorded for:	ers and sergeants for proficiency in enforcement see of safety equipment (use of force)? Have			
(a) Searching techniques.		✓ Yes □ No		
(b) Handcuffing.	- 8	✓ Yes ☐ No		
(c) Use of safety equipment.		✓ Yes ☐ No		
(d) Suspect control.		✓ Yes		
(e) High risk and felony stops.		✓ Yes □ No		
(f) Hostage control.		☑ Yes ☐ No		
(g) Prisoner transportation.		✓ Yes		
(h) Radio control head operation.	Ħ	✓ Yes ☐ No		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

/HP 4	1035	(Rev.	(6-06) OPI 009				
	(2)	ls th	ne command dedicating enough time toward trainir	ng?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officer	s and sergeants are curre	ent?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assand sergeants?	sure timely recertification	of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Do em	Area	ı supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursu general observations to determine if proper enforc	uit investigations, personr ement tactics are being u	nel complaints, and used in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training	purposes?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are and	use of force situations closely reviewed to ascerta what level of force, is justified?	ain if all uniformed person	nel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and being made?	d citizen complaints indic	ate a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are is made available?	not proficient and ensure	e refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Is re	efres	her training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomp	plish certification indicate	d on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□ No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?		8	☐ Yes	✓ No
		(b)	Have necessary remedial steps been taken to as: categories?	sure thorough and contin	uous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	es the	e command have an adequate number of instructo	ors?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	ls in	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the pro-	gram?		✓ Yes	☐ No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of	of proficiency is maintaine	ed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are	there adequate and properly maintained facilities	and equipment available	for officer safety training?		□No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being g	iven? Good, Area has a	n effective OST program.		
	(5)	Have	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received pro	oper training?		✓ Yes	□ No
1170	300	ALL ME	zin warka tama kao saakunis hahan da ka	EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
50	110		UIPMENT	Yes	No		
	whil	le on	esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried l duty, in uniform?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are no is utilized to subdue a subject?	otations made on booking	sheets when OC spray	Yes	□No
	(2)		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are spray on the CHP 121?	the supervisors noting the	ne use/nonuse of OC	☐ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

OI.II	4000	(Nev. 0-00) Of 1 003					
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles	of saline solution	?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontaminat	ion and first-aid pro	ocedure?		✓ Yes	□No
b	. Ar	e officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty he	olsters?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-hol- the safety strap with one hand?	ster and without lo	oking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons w	vithin one and a ha	If second	ls, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that al related exercises?	ll weapons are unl	oaded pr	ior to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
С	. Ar	e officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?				✓ Yes	□No
d	. Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement	nt stops?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approve	d baton technique	s?		✓ Yes	□No
е	. Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type inst		incident	s where body	☐ Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete phys	sical examination?			☐ Yes	□ No
f.	Are	e holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, har jectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performan	ndcuffs, handcuff o	ase, and	OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?				✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspecti	ion?	*		✓ Yes	□No
4. F	IREA	RMS	Yes		No REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a.	На	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating d	ischarge of firearm	ns been d	compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?				✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understa	anding of the policy	/?		✓ Yes	☐ No
b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?				✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily av	vailable? Current?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized	weapons, day/nigh	ıt shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
Ç.	Do	es the Area have a range officer?				✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officer	cers?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?				✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?				✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?				✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?				✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY	
CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009	1

71 IF	1F 4333 (Nev. 0-00) OF 1 003		
d.	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	 ✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to o	obtain adequate facilities?	□ No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐Yes	□No
e.	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and amm	unition in place? ☑ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	· Ves	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☑ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	√ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried	I by on-duty officers?	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	. Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the process taken?	following steps in the audit ✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	√ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well been determined?	as returned (unused) ammunition	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determine	ned? ✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand ac	cording to the inventory record?	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds	fired per shooting rosters?	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been test	ed? ✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, excep acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	is the merchandise inspected, otions noted, and receipt	□No
f.	f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded	except in the clearing tube?	☐ No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or a accidental discharge?		□No
g.	g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has reco by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	rd reliability been determined ☑ Yes	□No
		date training was conducted?	☐ No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual	date training was conducted?	

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

OH	4000	(itev. 0 00) 01 1 000		
	(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
	55	(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
h	. Is	there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not colved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No
i.	lf A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A		
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	Yes	□No
j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□ No
5. P	HYS	CAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTE)
a.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		
	(1)	Control holds.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(4)	Blocks.	Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☐Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHF	P 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009		
C	c. Were observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who is standing, kneeling, prone, or uncooperative?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental policy on handcuffing?	✓ Yes	□No
C	d. Are all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensive weapons?	√ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been reviewed?	☐Yes	✓ No
	(2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thorough searches been observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
). E	ENFORCEMENT TACTICS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED No.	CORRECTED)
а	 Do sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures which should be followed during each of the five options of an enforcement stop? 	✓ Yes	□No
b	 Do officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety during enforcement stops and when apprehending suspected or known criminals? 	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely contro the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?	l ☐ Yes	✓ No
	(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Is the violator completely controlled?	☐ Yes	□No
	(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	☐ Yes	□No
C.	Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1) Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of the incident until relieved by the authority having jurisdiction?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at all times?	✓ Yes	☐ No
	(3) Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain potential witnesses, control ingress and egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and render necessary medical aid?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determine their knowledge of the CHP role in hostage incidents?	✓ Yes	□No
Р	PURSUITS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes No	CORRECTED)
a.	. Are all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the conduct of pursuits?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Number of units?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) When to discontinue?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits comply with enforcement guidelines listed in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective actions taken?	☐ Yes	□No
b.	Does the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper coordination with allied agencies during pursuits?	☐Yes	☑ No
			3

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 00	CHP 453S	OPI 009
-----------------------------	----------	----------------

0111 100	5 (N.S. 6 55) 5. 1 555				
(1) Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?		4	Yes	✓ No
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored	d to the specific ne	eds of the command?	✓ Yes	☐ No
8. FORG	CIBLE STOPS	Yes EVALUATED	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Aı	re Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forci	ble stops?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance wi	th policy?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action be	en taken or training	☐ Yes	□No
9. ROAI	DBLOCKS	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
	as the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for e the hollow spike strip?	stablishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	✓ Yes	☐ No
(1)) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)) Have the officers received instructions on the proper metho	ds of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			✓ Yes	□No
10. RAD	00 FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE)
a. Ar	e officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	☐ No
b. Ca	an officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
c. Ca	an officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from th	e radio head?		✓ Yes	☐ No
	officer's 100 forms had supervisors comments that were titled ed. Occupational safety comments should be separate from d			of the departn	nent's goals
Section 3	. OC is carried by uniformed personnel, there were no use of	force incidents ut	ilizing OC during the time p	period being	evaluated.
Section 5	. Due to time constraints and a lack of equipment Section 5b	1-9 were not obser	ved. Items were discussed	with particip	ating Office
Section 6	. Enforcement stops were not observed due to time constraint	ts. Topics were di	scussed with participating (Officers.	

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 2	Page	1	of	2	
-------------	------	---	----	---	--

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Merced-460	Central-401	17
Inspected by:		Date:
Vaccarezza		09/17/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	Inspecti docume	on number. Under "For ent shall be utilized to do	ward to:" enter the ne cument innovative pr	ill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter act level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command I ☐ Executive Office Level	_evel	Total hours expende inspection: 4	ed on the	☑ Corrective Action Plan Included☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ☑ No	Forwa Division			
Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regar	rdina lı	anovative Practice	s.	
	<u></u>			
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:		
				÷.
Inspector's Findings:				
				ents regarding officer safety on afety not the department's goals.
Commander's Response: 🖂	Concu	ır or 🗌 Do Not Co	ncur (Do Not Con	cur shall document basis for response)
	ficer sa s head	afety and/or occup ling to stress office	ational safety. er safety and oc	
Inspector's Comments: Shall a etc.)	address	non concurrence by	commander (e.g., t	findings revised, findings unchanged,
		14		

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command: Merced-460	Division: Central-401	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Vaccarezza		Date: 09/17/2009	

Required Action	2011年 2011年 1011年 1011年 1011年	CALL PROPERTY.
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		
Area supervisors were made aware of the to stress officer safety and occupational sathe general comments section. The Area tensure this practice is maintained.	afety tips and to comment on department	al and Area goals in
Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	10/26/09
(ose in me. i, enapter e lei appear procesures.)	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 10/26/09
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SUCHATURE	12/7/09

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER		
Modesto 465	Central 401	CH, []		
EVALUATED BY		DATE		
Vaccarezza 17286		09/28/2009		

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Eva		mal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIR		☐ Correction Report	COMMANDERS REVIEW	respuncas		6.09
1. COMMAND	INVOLVEMENT		EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	1
	e command emphas e of injuries incurred	ze importance of proper enforcer by officers?	ment tactics to achieve the	lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Doe:	s the commander st	ress importance of proper enforce	ement tactics, including use	e of force?	☑ Yes	□No
(2) Does	s the safety record o	of the command reflect an awarer	ness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do to		and CHP 118s, Performance Ap	opraisals, contain comment	s on officer	✓ Yes	□No
		tenants knowledgeable of enforce correct use of safety equipment?		hods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is thi	is knowledge applie	d properly in critiques of incidents	s involving officers and serg	jeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do th	ne captain and lieute	enants maintain a minimum level	of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a)	Do they attend office	er safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b)	If they are not involv	red in officer safety, what are the	reasons?			
2. TRAINING A	ND CERTIFICATIO	N	VES	NO	CORRECTED)
a. Do trainir	ng records indicate f	ormal training has been received	and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
tactio		I certification of traffic officers and of arrest, and the proper use of led for:				
(a) S	Searching technique	es.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) I	Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) l	Jse of safety equipr	nent.				□No
(d) \$	Suspect control.				✓ Yes	□No
(e) H	High risk and felony	stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) H	lostage control.				✓ Yes	□No
(g) F	Prisoner transportat	on.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) F	Radio control head o	pperation.	*		Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

CHP	453S (Rev. 6-	06) OPI 009				
	(2) Is the	command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a) D	o training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	□No
		there an established follow-up procedure to assure tind sergeants?	mely recertification of a	all officers	✓ Yes	□ No
þ.	Do Area su employ ge	upervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inveneral observations to determine if proper enforcement	estigations, personnel c tactics are being used	complaints, and in the Area?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Are we	ell-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
		e of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if al nat level of force, is justified?	l uniformed personnel	understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		oes an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize ling made?	en complaints indicate	a through review is	✓ Yes	□No
		o Area supervisors notify those officers who are not primade available?	roficient and ensure ref	fresher training	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Is refresher	r training required prior to certification?		4	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1) Are the	e number of training hours necessary to accomplish c	ertification indicated or	the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Is	any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		ave necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the tegories?	norough and continuou	s proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Does the co	ommand have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is instr	uctor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Has an	individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do	pes that individual ensure the quality and level of profi	ciency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Are the	ere adequate and properly maintained facilities and ed	quipment available for	officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) What is	s the quality and quantity of the training being given?	Area has eight hour C	OST days scheduled. Ar	ea needs mo	re OST
	instruc	ctors.				
	(5) Have th	ne supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tr	aining?		✓ Yes	□No
. SA	FETY EQUI	PMENT	Yes .	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.		n Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all t ty, in uniform?	uniformed personnel, o	aptain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
		pray used when the need is indicated? Are notations utilized to subdue a subject?	s made on booking she	eets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
		an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the suray on the CHP 121?	upervisors noting the u	se/nonuse of OC	☐ Yes	□No
	(3) Are ind	ividuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate	ed by flushing the affect	cted area with clear	☐ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

=			(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	saline solution?		✓ Yes	□ No
_			(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
_	b.	Are	officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holst	ters?		✓ Yes	□No
			Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster the safety strap with one hand?	r and without looking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
		(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	in one and a half second	s, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
			Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all w related exercises?	eapons are unloaded pri	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
	C. /	Are	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
	d.	Do d	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
	((1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	tops?		✓ Yes	☐ No
	((2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved b	eaton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
	e. I	Do a	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
	(Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, pe armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrur		s where body	☐ Yes	□No
			(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physica	l examination?		☐ Yes	□No
			holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hando ectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?		✓ Yes	□No
4.	FIRE	EAR	MS	Yes Yes	Yes	CORRECTED	
	a.	Has	the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	harge of firearms been c	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ing of the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	b. A	\re s	shoots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□ No
	('	1) I	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	70		✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) /	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily availa	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3	3) [Do training records show qualification with all authorized wea	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
	c. D	oes	the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□ No
	(1	1) F	las the officer completed Academy training for range officers	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2	2) [Does the officer supervise all shoots?	02		✓ Yes	□No
	(3	3) I	s the officer well-organized in his/her training?		N	✓ Yes	□ No
	(4	l) l:	s there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(;	a) Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

	4000	(Nev. 0-00) OF 1 009		
d	Ar	e range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
		(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	-	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
е.	ls :	an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	-	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
		(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	☐ Yes	□No
		(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
		(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
		(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
		(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
		(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
		(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	Yes	□No
f.	ls p	olicy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	☐ No
f.		Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No
f.	(1) Are	Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an		
	(1) Are	Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge? weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined	✓ Yes	□No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5) Are records kept updated as training takes place?	✓ Yes	□No
_	(6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS?	✓ Yes	□No
	(7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)?	✓ Yes	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?	√ Yes	□No
i	. If A	Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers?		
	(1)) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place?	☐ Yes	□No
j	Are	e required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?	✓ Yes	□No
5. F	PHYS	SICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED)
ē	. Do	o officers practice weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense	? Ves	□No
b	. W	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed:		(4)
	(1)	Control holds.	Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Punches.	Yes	✓ No
	(3)	Strikes.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.	Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	☐ Yes	☑ No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

JHP .	4533	5 (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009		`		
C.	W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made	de?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect uncooperative?	t who is standing, kneelii	ng, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departn	nental policy on handcuf	fing?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Ar	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for o	ffensive weapons?	5	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been i	reviewed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and	I thorough searches bee	n observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches	of the opposite sex as o	utlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
E	NFO	RCEMENT TACTICS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.		sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper proce the five options of an enforcement stop?	followed during each	✓ Yes	□No	
b.		officers have a constant awareness of their personal sa prehending suspected or known criminals?	t stops and when	✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observe the situation at all times regardless of the level of haza	d which show the officer ard presented?	s' ability to safely control	Yes	✓ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation	1?		☐ Yes	☐ No
c.	Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?					✓ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment having jurisdiction?	- √ Yes	□No		
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire disciplin	e at all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to de egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and	 ✓ Yes	□No		
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to do hostage incidents?	etermine their knowledg	e of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
PU	RSI	JITS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.	Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding	the conduct of pursuits	?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuited in policy?	ement guidelines	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective	e actions taken?		☐ Yes	□No
		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure p suits?	roper coordination with	allied agencies during	☐Yes	✓ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP	453S	(Rev	6-061	OPI	nna	

CHP 4538	S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
(1) Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	✓ No
(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?			☐ Yes	☑ No
(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailore	d to the specific nee	ds of the command?	✓ Yes	□No
8. FOR	CIBLE STOPS	Yes .	No No	CORRECTED	
a. Ar	re Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forc	ible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?		✓ Yes	□No	
(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance w	ith policy?		✓ Yes	□No
*	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action bee	en taken or training	Yes	□No
9. ROAL	DBLOCKS	Yes Yes	. ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a. Ha of	as the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for e the hollow spike strip?	establishing roadbloo	ks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			✓ Yes	□No
(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper method	ods of establishing ro	adblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?		. 3	✓ Yes	□No
10. RAD	IO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Ar	e officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b. Ca	in officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to another	Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
c. Ca	n officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the	ne radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

Quarterly ammunition audits should be stored with the CHP 269s.

Training/range officer related that at times only one range officer conducts range training. A minimum of two range officers should run range.

The range officer handles ammunition, receives shipments and records shoots in ETRS, per policy a designated ammunition officer is needed to insure accountability.

The area does not meet the requirement of one OST instructor per 8 officers. The area needs to send additional officers to OST instructor school.

Section 3. Uniformed personnel carry OC however the area did not have a use of OC for the time period evaluated. Section 6. An enforcement stop was not observed due to a lack of available personnel.

Section 5b(1)-(9) Not observed due to time constraints and lack of OST equipment. Topics discussed with participating Officers.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Modesto-465	Division: Central-401	Chapter: 17	
Inspected by: Vaccarezza		Date: 09/28/2009	

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspection of the contract of	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level		Total hours expende inspection:4	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☑ Yes ☐ No	Forwa	£		
Chapter Inspection:				· 1997年
Inspector's Comments Regar	rding Ir	novative Practices		
Command Suggestions for S	tatewio	le Improvement:		
Inspector's Findings:				
Quarterly ammunition au	dits sh	ould be stored with	the CHP 269s	•
Training/range officer rela minimum of two range off			e range officer (conducts range training. A
The range officer handles a designated ammunition				ecords shoots in ETRS, per policy y.
The area does not meet to send additional officers to			ST instructor pe	er 8 officers. The area needs to
Commander's Response:	Concu	r or □ Do Not Cor	ncur (Do Not Cond	our shall document basis for response)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Modesto-465	Central-401	1/	
Inspected by:		Date:	
Vaccarezza		09/28/2009	

Officer G. Wright, ID 15979, a non range officer, has been designated as the ammunitions officer.

Currently, the Area has two AOST instructors. The Area is attempting to schedule additional officers for AOST Instructor School next quarter.

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Modesto-465	Division: Central-401	Chapter:	
Inspected by:		Date:	
Vaccarezza		09/28/2009	

	MINESON NEW YORK	
Required Action		
The section of Land Michigan Control of the Control	在多种的特殊的人。	Miles Cost, The British Helical States
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE FOR DUNCANS	10 26.09
·	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	9/28/2009
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S STENATURE	/2/7/09

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
Oakhurst (45	(c) Central	CHP. 17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres, 17497		07/29/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evalua	ation Inform	nal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes No	<u> </u>	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIE	dams	10/14/200	
1. COMMAND IN	IVOLVEMENT		EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
	ommand emphasiz of injuries incurred	ze importance of proper enforce by officers?	ement tactics to achiev	ve the lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does to	he commander str	ess importance of proper enfor	cement tactics, includi	ng use of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does t	he safety record o	f the command reflect an aware	eness of proper tactics	?	✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the safety?		and CHP 118s, Performance A	Appraisals, contain co	mments on officer	✓ Yes	□No
		enants knowledgeable of enfore correct use of safety equipment		al methods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this I	knowledge applied	properly in critiques of inciden	ts involving officers ar	nd sergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the	captain and lieute	nants maintain a minimum leve	el of enforcement skills	6?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do	they attend office	r safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If t	hey are not involve	ed in officer safety, what are the	e reasons?			
						<u>1</u> 1
2. TRAINING AN	D CERTIFICATIO	N ===	Yes	Yes	CORRECTED	
a. Do training	records indicate for	ormal training has been receive	d and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
tactics,	ords reflect annual physical methods ations been record	certification of traffic officers a of arrest, and the proper use o ed for:	nd sergeants for profi of safety equipment (u	ciency in enforcement se of force)? Have		
(a) Se	arching technique	S.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Ha	indcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Us	e of safety equipm	nent.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Su	spect control.				✓ Yes	□No
(e) Hig	gh risk and felony	stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hos	stage control.					□No
(g) Pris	soner transportation	on,			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Ra	dio control head o	peration.				□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

JIII -	1000	(I tev.	. 0-00) OF 1 000				
	(2)	Is th	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?		2.	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are co	urrent?	✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure tin and sergeants?	nely recertificati	on of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
b.			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inve-			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpor	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if all what level of force, is justified?	uniformed pers	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	n complaints in	dicate a through review is	√ Yes	□ No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pr is made available?	oficient and ens	ure refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls re	efresi	her training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish ce	ertification indica	ited on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			Yes	☑ No
			Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure th categories?	orough and con	tinuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Doe	s the	e command have an adequate number of instructors?			Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Is in:	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of profic	ciency is mainta	ined?	Yes	□No
	(3)	Are 1	there adequate and properly maintained facilities and eq	uipment availat	le for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Wha	at is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	The Area has a	certified OST instructor who	ensures each	uniformed
		emp	ployee receives 8 hours of OST training annually.				
	(5)	Have	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tra	aining?		☐Yes	☑ No
SA	FET	Y EQ	UIPMENT	Yes Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	0
			esin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uduty, in uniform?	inįformed perso	nnel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		C spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations is utilized to subdue a subject?	made on book	ing sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the su spray on the CHP 121?	pervisors noting	the use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		ndividuals who are exposed to OC spray decontaminate water within 30 minutes?	ed by flushing th	e affected area with clear	✓ Yes	∏No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

		(a)	Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	saline solution?		✓ Yes ·	□No
		(b)	Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
b	. Aı	re offi	cers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holst	ers?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1		n officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holste safety strap with one hand?	r and without looking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Ca	✓ Yes	□No			
	(3)		here personal confirmation by the testing officer that all wated exercises?	eapons are unloaded pri	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
С	. Ar	e offic	cers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
d	. Do	offic	ers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)) Do	officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement s	stops?		☐Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Cai	n officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved b	paton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
е	. Do	all u	niformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		re required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, pe nor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrur	•	s where body	☐Yes	□No
		(a)	If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physica	l examination?		☐ Yes	□No
f,			sters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handours inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do	CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	We	re deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?		✓ Yes	□No
4. F	IREA	RMS		Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED Yes	CORRECTE)
a.	На	s the	requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	harge of firearms been o	ompiled with?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1)	Do	officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ing of the policy?		☐ Yes	□ No
b.	Are	e sho	ots conducted as required by policy?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1)	Hav	re steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?		75	☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Are	weapons training and maintenance records readily avail	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(3)	Do 1	training records show qualification with all authorized we	apons, day/night shoots,	etc.?	✓ Yes	□ No
c.	Do	es the	e Area have a range officer?	8:		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has	the officer completed Academy training for range officer	s?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Doe	s the officer supervise all shoots?		£	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is th	ne officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is th	ere a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Has that officer received Academy training?	-	G.	√ Yes	□No
		V.					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

C	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
е	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	Yes	☑ No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_			(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
		(3)	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunitio records)?	aining (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No	
	((4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) of (per the shooting roster)?	actual amount expended	✓ Yes	□No	
			(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunit	ion verified for thos	e training days tested?	✓ Yes	□ No
	((5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No
	((6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETR	S?		✓ Yes	□No
	((7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establish	ned guidelines and	instructions?	✓ Yes	□No
	((8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertidate, etc.)?	nent information (ty	rpe of shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No
			here a procedure in place which ensures the person procession of ammunition inventor of the receiving and recording of ammunition inventor		requisition is not	✓ Yes	□No
	((1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recinvolved with handling and recording ammunition?	ording weapons tra	aining information is not	✓ Yes	□No
	((2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records li supervisor or backup employee?	✓ Yes	□No		
	i. If	f Ar	ea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ens	sure weapons train	ing of RP officers? N/A		
	((1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedu	☐ Yes	□ No		
	j. A	۱re	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annua	✓ Yes	□No		
	((1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted ever	☐ Yes	☑ No		
5.	PHY	/SI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes	No No	CORRECTE	
	а. [Do	officers practice weaponless defense?		*	✓ Yes	□No
	· (1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familia	arized themselves	with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□ No
	b. \	Vе	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by office	ers observed:			
	(1)	Control holds.			Yes	☑ No
	(:	2)	Punches.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.		7	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(•	4)	Blocks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(1	6)	Defenses against grabs.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(8	8)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	☑ Nọ
	(9	9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			☐ Yes	✓ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

CHI	400	3 (176	ev. 0-00) OF1 009				
C.	W	/ere	observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1		can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who nocoperative?	is standing, kneelir	ng, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) A	re all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departments	al policy on handcuff	fing?		□No
d.	Aı	re all	ll persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offens	sive weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) H	as the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been revie	wed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)) H	as a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and tho	rough searches bee	n observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)) D	o all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the	e opposite sex as οι	utlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	NFC	ORCI	EMENT TACTICS	Yes	NO NO	CORRECTED)
a.			rgeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure five options of an enforcement stop?	es which should be fo	ollowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.			icers have a constant awareness of their personal safety hending suspected or known criminals?	during enforcement	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		ere demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed wh e situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard pr		s' ability to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(a)) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□No
		(b)) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
		(c)) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐ Yes	□No
C.		there	e evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agons?	gencies to prepare b	peat officers for hostage	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)		o officers understand their role is limited to containment o ving jurisdiction?	of the incident until re	elieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are	e officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at	all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)		e officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain press to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and ren			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)		ere various officers and supervisors questioned to detern stage incidents?	nine their knowledge	e of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
. PU	IRSI	UITS		Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	e all ı	uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	conduct of pursuits?	}	☑ Yes	□No
	(1)	Nu	mber of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(')						
	(2)		nen to discontinue?			✓ Yes	☐ No
		Wh		comply with enforce	ement guidelines	✓ Yes✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Wh We liste	nen to discontinue? ere pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits		ement guidelines		

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(1)	Are any written agreements on file?			Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Is Division involved in the planning process?		☐Yes	☑ No	
	(3)	Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailor	ed to the specific n	eeds of the command?	☐ Yes	☑ No
8. F	ORC	IBLE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on for	cible stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance v	vith policy?		✓ Yes	□No
		(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has conducted?	corrective action b	een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
9. R	OAD	вьоска	Yes	no	CORRECTED	
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for he hollow spike strip?	establishing roadbl	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper meth	ods of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐ Yes	☑ No
10. F	RADI	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	∏No
b.	Car	n officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their h	ome Area to anothe	er Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.						□No

- 3(d) and 3(d)(1) Officers carry the ASP.
- 2(d) The Area has one OST instructor.
- 4(b) Numerous officers are behind in range shoots.
- 4(h) The Area does not have an ammunition officer who provides oversight and accountability to the armory inventory.
- 4(e)(1)(b) Shotgun inspections are behind.
- 4(e)(2)(b) Rifle inspections are behind.
- 4(j)(1) Primary firearm 6 month field strips are behind.
- 5(b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Oakhurst	Division: Central	Chapter:
Inspected by:	Date: 7/29/2009	
D. Torres, 174	112912003	

Page 1 of 4

	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the ne cument innovative p		
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level	Total hours expended on the inspection: 3.5		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included		
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to: DIVISION			
☐ Yes	Due D	Pate: 10/21/09		indra Adams	
Chapter Inspection: 17	din a la	anauctius Drastinas			
Inspector's Comments Regar	aing ir	inovative Practices	i.		
£					
Command Suggestions for St	atewid	le Improvement			

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Oakhurst	Division: Central	Chapter:	
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174	197	Date: 7/29/2009	

Page 2 of 4

			7				
Inspector's F	indings:	2.5					

The Area's range officer is responsible for inputting shooting records, inventorying the ammunition, ordering ammunition, and receiving ammunition. Policy requires there be a designated ammunition officer to provide accountability and oversight for the ammunition.

The Area's training records indicate 4 of 17 officers are three months behind in range shoots, and 9 of 17 officers are four months behind in range shoots.

The Area's records indicate they are behind on their shotgun and rifle quarterly inspection/cleaning.

The Area has one OST instructor. Based on the Area's staffing, two OST instructors would be beneficial.

Commander's Response:	☐ Concur or ☐ Do Not Co	oncur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)

Finding 1 – Concur: Area recognizes the need for separation of ammunition accounting duties. Effective immediately, a second officer, other than the weapons officer shall order, receive, rotate, retrieve, and record all movements of ammunition. In the absence of the second officer, the Training Sergeant shall be responsible for accomplishing the required task(s).

Finding 2 – Concur: Area weapons officer shall provide the CHP 416 to the Area commander following range training to report who has completed training and who failed to attend when scheduled. This procedure should avoid anyone being more than one month behind in weapons training.

Finding 3 — Concur: The Training Sergeant will be responsible for keeping a suspense system indicating quarterly inspections or cleaning is required for shotgun and rifle maintenance. The Training Sergeant will then schedule a day or more for the maintenance to be accomplished. The weapons officer will be responsible for maintaining the required documentation of the weapons maintenance (CHP 311 via ETRS).

Finding 4 – Concur: The Area had scheduled an officer to attend the 80-hour PMA OST training course in October, 2009. Due to an off-duty injury, the training could not be accomplished. The Area still intends a second officer be trained to provide certification and remedial instruction if necessary.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 4

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Oakhurst	Central	17
Inspected by:	Date:	
D. Torres, 17	7/29/2009	

Inspector's Comments:	Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,
etc')	

Required Action

Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 4 of 4

Command: Oakhurst	Division: Central	Chapter: 17
Inspected by: D. Torres, 1749	Date: 7/29/2009	

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE JANAS Adams, Cr INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/14/09 DATE
Reviewer discussed this report with employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 12/7/09

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER
481 PORTERVILLE	Central	CHP17
EVALUATED BY		DATE
D. Torres		09/21/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
TYPE OF EVALUATION Formal Evaluation	Informal Evaluation	SUSPENSE DATE			
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED Yes [] No	☐ Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW	range	10/20 ₁	/2009
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMEN	Т	VES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTÉD)
Does the command empiricidence of injuries incu	phasize importance of proper enforcerring the contract of the	•	e the lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commande	er stress importance of proper enfo	rcement tactics, includir	g use of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety reco	ord of the command reflect an awar	eness of proper tactics?)	✓ Yes	
	' 100 and CHP 118s, Performance			✓ Yes	□No
	lieutenants knowledgeable of enfor the correct use of safety equipmen		l methods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge ap	plied properly in critiques of incider	nts involving officers and	d sergeants?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2) Do the captain and I	ieutenants maintain a minimum lev	el of enforcement skills?)	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend o	officer safety training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not in	volved in officer safety, what are th	ne reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFICA	ATION	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	D)
a. Do training records indica	ate formal training has been receive	7.25/2		Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect ar tactics, physical meth certifications been re	nnual certification of traffic officers a hods of arrest, and the proper use o corded for:	and sergeants for profici of safety equipment (use	ency in enforcement e of force)? Have		
(a) Searching techn	iques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing		-2		✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety eq	uipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.				✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and fel	ony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.				✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transpo	ntation.			✓ Yes	□No
(h) Radio control he	ad operation.			✓ Yes	□No
		ï			

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

10	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3 00/ 01 1 000				
((2)	Is th	ne command dedicating enough time toward training?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers and	sergeants are current?		✓ Yes	☐ No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assure tine and sergeants?	mely recertification of all	officers	✓ Yes	□No
			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit invegeneral observations to determine if proper enforcement			✓ Yes	□No
((1)	Аге	well-handled incidents recorded for future training purpo	ses?		✓ Yes	□No
(use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain if al what level of force, is justified?	l uniformed personnel ur	nderstand when,	✓ Yes	□No
	(Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and citize being made?	en complaints indicate a	through review is	✓ Yes	□No
	(Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are not pris made available?	oficient and ensure refre	esher training	✓ Yes	□No
c. I	s ref	frest	ner training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	☑ No
(1) /	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplish co	ertification indicated on t	he CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
	((a)	Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?	R		☐Yes	☑ No
	(Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure the categories?	orough and continuous	proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□ No
d. [Does	the	command have an adequate number of instructors?			✓ Yes	∐No
('	1) I	s ins	structor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
(2	2) F	Has	an individual been given responsibility for the program?			✓ Yes	□No
	(a)	Does that individual ensure the quality and level of profi	ciency is maintained?		✓ Yes	□No
(3	3) <i>F</i>	Are t	here adequate and properly maintained facilities and ec	uipment available for of	ficer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
(4	4) V	Vha	t is the quality and quantity of the training being given?	New OST beginning to	be implemented with a	nnual OST	certification
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
(5	5) H	lave	e the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper tra		Transcriptor to the same and	✓ Yes	□No
. SAFI	ETY	EQ	UIPMENT	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTE	2
			sin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by all uduty, in uniform?	iniformed personnel, ca	otain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
(1	l) Is		S spray used when the need is indicated? Are notations sutilized to subdue a subject?	s made on booking shee	ts when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
(2	(2) When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the supervisors noting the use/nonuse of OC spray on the CHP 121? ✓ Yes ☐ No					✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(, ,		
	(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of saline solution?	✓ Yes	□No
-	(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination and first-aid procedure?	✓ Yes	□No
b	. Are officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty holsters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holster and without looking at the holster, fasten the safety strap with one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons within one and a half seconds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all weapons are unloaded prior to holster- related exercises?	✓ Yes	□No
С	Are officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?	✓ Yes	□No
d	. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(1) Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement stops?	☐Yes	✓ No
	(2) Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved baton techniques?	✓ Yes	□No
е	Do all uniformed personnel wear body armor?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per policy, for any incidents where body armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instrument?	☐ Yes	□No
	(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical examination?	Yes	□No
f.	Are holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, handcuffs, handcuff case, and OC spray projectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance appraisal?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection?	☐Yes	□No
4. Fi	REARMS EVALUATED ACTION REQUIRED Yes Yes	CORRECTE	D
a.	Has the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating discharge of firearms been compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understanding of the policy?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are shoots conducted as required by policy?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are weapons training and maintenance records readily available? Current?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(3) Do training records show qualification with all authorized weapons, day/night shoots, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Does the Area have a range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the officer completed Academy training for range officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Does the officer supervise all shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4) Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Has that officer received Academy training?	✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

d.	Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	☐Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	☐ Yes	□No
e.	Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐Yes	☑ No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	☑ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	✓ Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g.	Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No
			=

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammur records)?	nition was issued t	or training (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory record (per the shooting roster)?	s) compared with	the actual amount expended	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused amme	unition verified for	those training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5)	Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No
_	(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in E	TRS?		✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with estab	s required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?			
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all p date, etc.)?	ertinent information	on (type of shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No
		there a procedure in place which ensures the person proce volved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inver		ition requisition is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person involved with handling and recording ammunition?	recording weapor	ns training information is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory record supervisor or backup employee?	ds limited to the a	mmunition officer and	✓ Yes	□No
	i. If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to	ensure weapons	training of RP officers? RP offic	er shoots w	ith Area
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proc	edures in place?		☐ Yes	□No
	i. Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the ann	nual CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted e	every six months?		√ Yes	□No
5.	PHYSI	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes	No ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
	a. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?			√ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest point	nts?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly far	miliarized themsel	ves with weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
	o. We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by of	fficers observed:			
	(1)	Control holds.			☐Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Punches.			Yes	☑ No
	(3)	Strikes.			☐Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.			☐Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.		~~~	Yes	✓ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.		82	Yes	✓ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.			Yes	✓ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.	15		☐ Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

0111	10,0	((test 6 00) et 1 000				
C.	W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?			√ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative?	o is standing, kneelin	g, prone, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of department	al policy on handcuffi	ng?	✓ Yes	□No
d.	Αı	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offens	sive weapons?		√ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been revie	ewed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and tho	rough searches been	observed?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of th	ne opposite sex as ou	tlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. El	NFC	PRCEMENT TACTICS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.		o sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedure the five options of an enforcement stop?	es which should be fo	llowed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b.		o officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety prehending suspected or known criminals?	during enforcement	stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed whe situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard p		' ability to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No
Tail ^b		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐ Yes	□No
C.		there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied a uations?	gencies to prepare be	eat officers for hostage	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of having jurisdiction?	of the incident until re	lieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at	all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and ren			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determ hostage incidents?	mine their knowledge	of the CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
PU	RSI	UITS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.	Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	conduct of pursuits?	1	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?			√ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits listed in policy?	comply with enforcer	ment guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective ac	tions taken?		✓ Yes	□No
		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure prope suits?	er coordination with a	llied agencies during	✓ Yes	∏No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

_						
	(1) Are any written agreements on file?					□No
,	(2) Is Division involved in the planning process?					☑ No
	(3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored to the specific needs of the command?					□No
8. F	ORC	BLE STOPS	EVALUATED Yes	ACTION REQUIRED. No	CORRECTED	
a	. Are	Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forci	ble stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does the Area follow departmental policy?					□No
	(2)	Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance wi	th policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has corrective action been taken or training conducted?					□No
9. R	9. ROADBLOCKS Yes ACTION REQUIRED No					
a.		s the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for ene hollow spike strip?	stablishing roadb	locks and deployment	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have the officers received instructions on the proper metho	ds of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			Yes	✓ No
10. I	RADI	O FAMILIARIZATION	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	Аге	officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b.	Car	officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to anoth	er Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Can	officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from th	e radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

³⁽d) and 3(d)(1) - Officers carry the ASP.

⁴⁽e)(1)(b) - Shotgun inspections are behind.

⁴⁽e)(2)(b) - Rifle inspections are behind.

⁵⁽b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Porterville	Central	17
Inspected by:	***	Date:
D. Torres, 174	497	9/212009

Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspection documents	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the ne: :ument innovative pr	ill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter ext level of command where the document factices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command L Executive Office Level	_evel	Total hours expende inspection: 3	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included☑ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes ⊠ No	Forwa			
Chapter Inspection: 17	Market .			
Inspector's Comments Regar	dina Ir	nnovative Practices		
	<u> </u>			
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:		
None		g		
Inspector's Findings:				
The Area is behind on its rifle a	and sh	otgun inspections.		
Commander's Response: 🖂	Concu	ır or 🗌 Do Not Cor	cur (Do Not Con	cur shall document basis for response)
The Porterville Area realized the policy. Porterville immediate ensure the inspections will be	ely sta	arted the required i	nspections and	re not being completed as required has put into place a system to
Porterville Area in addressing allied agency pursuits. These Chiefs Association.	# 7 (b) are lo	and 7 (b)(1); doe: cated in Area SOP	s in fact have w and in the MO	ritten policy and procedure for U agreement of the Tulare County
Inspector's Comments: Shall a etc.)	address	non concurrence by c	commander (e.g., t	findings revised, findings unchanged,

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command: Porterville	Division: Central	Chapter: 17
Inspected by: D. Torres, 174	.97	Date: 9/212009

Required Action	
	1911
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

The Porterville Area realized that the shotgun and rifle inspections were not being completed as required by policy. Porterville immediately started the required inspections and has put into place a system to ensure the inspections will be completed timely and within policy. Porterville Area will have all rifles and shotguns inspected by November 25, 2009, and will complete future inspections within Department Policy guidelines.

Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE,
the reviewer.	1 /2 NUVANIMOVA	10/20/2009
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	J Lambe	10/29/01
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee Concur Do not concur	m.d. 60	12/4/09
	,	, i

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA	DIVISION	NUMBER	
425 SCNORA	Central	CH 17	
EVALUATED BY		DATE	
C.J. Swanberg		09/28/2009	

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

TYPE OF EVALUATION		SUSPENSE DATE			
	Informal Evaluation			Manager -	
FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED	Correction Report	COMMANDER'S REVIEW Att 45/56	FOR LT SAMPA	9 28 ZE	A
1. COMMAND INVOLVEMEN	TV	EVALUATED /	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
Does the command emincidence of injuries incidence.	phasize importance of proper enforc curred by officers?	ement tactics to achieve th	e lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the command	der stress importance of proper enfo	rcement tactics, including u	se of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety red	cord of the command reflect an awar	eness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CH safety?	P 100 and CHP 118s, Performance	Appraisals, contain comme	ents on officer	✓ Yes	□No
	d lieutenants knowledgeable of enfor d the correct use of safety equipment		ethods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge a	applied properly in critiques of incider	nts involving officers and se	ergeants?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Do the captain and	lieutenants maintain a minimum leve	el of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend	officer safety training sessions?		111	✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not	involved in officer safety, what are th	ne reasons?			
2. TRAINING AND CERTIFIC	CATION	EVALUATED YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Do training records indi	cate formal training has been receive	ed and certified?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Do records reflect a tactics, physical me certifications been i	annual certification of traffic officers a ethods of arrest, and the proper use or recorded for:	and sergeants for proficient of safety equipment (use o	cy in enforcement f force)? Have		
(a) Searching tech	nniques.			✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety e	equipment.			✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect contro	ol.			✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and fo	elony stops.			✓ Yes	□No
/f) Heatens contro			or and a second	✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage contro	l.				
(g) Prisoner transp				✓ Yes	□No

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3)		ndividuals who are exposed to OC spray decontam vater within 30 minutes?	inated by flushing the	affected area with clear	√ Yes	□No
	(2)		n an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the pray on the CHP 121?	e supervisors noting	the use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)		S spray used when the need is indicated? Are nota sutilized to subdue a subject?	tions made on booki	ng sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□ No
a.			sin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by duty, in uniform?	all uniformed persor	nel, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□ No
			UIPMENT	Yes	No No	CORRECTE)
	(5)	Have	the supervisor and his/her alternate received prop		7	✓ Yes	□No
	(**)	vviid	to the quality and quantity of the training being give	511:			
		-	here adequate and properly maintained facilities an t is the quality and quantity of the training being give		e for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	
	(2)		Does that individual ensure the quality and level of			✓ Yes	□ No □ No
	(2)		an individual been given responsibility for the progra			✓ Yes	
	(1)		structor proficiency maintained?	2		✓ Yes	□ No
d.			command have an adequate number of instructors	?		✓ Yes	□No
			Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assu categories?		inuous proficiency in all	✓ Yes	□No
			Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?		¥	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Are	the number of training hours necessary to accomplis	sh certification indica	ted on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	ls r	efrest	ner training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	☑ No
		(b)	Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are n is made available?	ot proficient and ens	ure refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
			Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and obeing made?	citizen complaints inc	icate a through review is	☑ Yes	□No
	(2)		use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain what level of force, is justified?	if all uniformed person	onnel understand when,	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are	well-handled incidents recorded for future training p	urposes?		✓ Yes	□No
b.			supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit general observations to determine if proper enforcen			✓ Yes	□No
		(b)	Is there an established follow-up procedure to assu and sergeants?	re timely recertification	n of all officers	✓ Yes	□No
		(a)	Do training records reflect certifications for officers	and sergeants are cu	rrent?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Is th	e command dedicating enough time toward training	?		✓ Yes	□No

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S	(Rev. 6	-06)	OPI 009
----------	---------	------	---------

0111	1000	(1.64. 0.60) 61 1.600				
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	f saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?		✓ Yes	□No
b	. Ar	e officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty hols	ters?	E E	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holste the safety strap with one hand?	er and without looking at t	he holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	nin one and a half second	ls, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all w related exercises?	veapons are unloaded pri	or to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Άг	officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
d.	Do	officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement	stops?		☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved	baton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
e.	Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?		·	√ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, per armor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instru		! -	☐ Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical	al examination?		☐Yes	□No
f.		holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hand jectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	1?		☐ Yes	□No
4. FI	REA	RMS	Yes Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTE)
a.	На	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	charge of firearms been o	compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ding of the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are	shoots conducted as required by policy?			Yes	□No
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily avail	lable? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized we	apons, day/night shoots	, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Doe	s the Area have a range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range office	rs?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

(d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain adequate facilities?	□Yes	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐ Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	Yes	□No
•	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition in place?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on-duty officers?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the following steps in the audit process taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as returned (unused) ammunition been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according to the inventory record?	✓ Yes	≅ □ No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired per shooting rosters?	✓ Yes	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the merchandise inspected, quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions noted, and receipt acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	Yes	□No
f.	Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded except in the clearing tube?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about the office in the event of an accidental discharge?	✓ Yes	□No
g	. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliability been determined by testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date training was conducted?	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's CHP 415?	✓ Yes	□No

OFFICER SAFETY

(3) Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammunition was issued for training (per inventory records)? (4) Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) compared with the actual amount expended (per the shooting roster)? (a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested?							
(per the shooting roster)? (a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammunition verified for those training days tested? (b) Are records kept updated as training takes place? (c) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS? (d) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions? (e) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions? (g) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)? (h) Is there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory? (1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording of ammunition? (2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee? (2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee? (a) If Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? RP uses local range. (b) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place? (c) If Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118? (d) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months? (e) Physical METHODS OF ARREST (f) Are officers practice weaponless defense? (g) Yes No (g) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense? (g) Yes No (g) Defenses against grabs. (g) Defenses against grabs.		(3		on was issued for train	ing (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No
(5) Are records kept updated as training takes place?		(4		compared with the act	tual amount expended	✓ Yes	□No
(6) Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETRS? (7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions? (8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)? (9) Yes No (1) Is there a procedure in place which ensures the person processing the ammunition requisition is not involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory? (1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition? (2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee? (2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee? (3) If Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? RP uses local range. (4) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place? (5) Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118? (8) Yes No (9) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months? (9) Yes No (1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months? (1) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place? (1) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest ppints? (2) Yes No (3) Officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest ppints? (4) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest ppints? (5) Defensive with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense? (9) No (1) Control holds. (2) Punches. (3) Strikes. (4) Blocks. (5) Defensive kicks. (6) Defenses against grabs. (7) Defenses against grabs. (8) Ground defense and takedowns.			(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammun	ition verified for those	training days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
(7) Is required information recorded in accordance with established guidelines and instructions?		(5	Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No
(8) Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all pertinent information (type of shoot, scores, date, etc.)? Yes		(6)	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETI	RS?		✓ Yes	□No
Attention and the etc.)? Yes No		(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establish	shed guidelines and in	structions?	✓ Yes	□No
involved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventory? (1) Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person recording weapons training information is not involved with handling and recording ammunition? (2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee? (3) If Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? RP uses local range. (4) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place? (5) Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118? (6) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place? (7) Yes No (8) Ground defense and takedowns.		(8)		tinent information (type	e of shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□No
involved with handling and recording ammunition? Yes	h				quisition is not	✓ Yes	□No
supervisor or backup employee?		(1)		cording weapons train	ing information is not	✓ Yes	□No
(1) If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability procedures in place? Yes No j. Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118? Yes No (1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months? Yes No 5. PHYSICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes No (1) Are officers practice weaponless defense? Yes No (1) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? Yes No (2) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense? Yes No (2) Punches. Yes No (3) Strikes. Yes No (4) Blocks. Yes No (5) Defensive kicks. Yes No (6) Defenses against grabs. Yes No (7) Defenses against weapons. Yes No (8) Ground defense and takedowns. Yes No		(2)		limited to the ammunit	ion officer and	√ Yes	□No
j. Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?	i.	If A	rea has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to er	nsure weapons training	of RP officers? RP uses	local range	
j. Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118? (1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months? (2) Yes No (3) Strikes. (4) Blocks. (5) Defenses against weapons. (6) Defenses against weapons. (1) Are required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118? (2) Yes No (3) Stroud defense against weapons. (4) Defenses against weapons. (5) Defenses against weapons. (6) Ground defense and takedowns.							
(1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?		(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proced	lures in place?		Yes	□No
5. PHYSICAL METHODS OF ARREST EVALUATED Yes No No No No No No No N	j.	Are	required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annu	al CHP 118?		✓ Yes	□No
a. Do officers practice weaponless defense? (1) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points? (2) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense? (3) Were demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed: (1) Control holds. (2) Punches. (3) Strikes. (4) Blocks. (5) Defensive kicks. (6) Defenses against grabs. (7) Defenses against weapons. (8) Ground defense and takedowns.		(1)	Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted even	ery six months?		✓ Yes	□No
(1) Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points?	5. P	HYS	CAL METHODS OF ARREST		1747-00-1	CORRECTE	0
(2) Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly familiarized themselves with weaponless defense?	a.	Do	officers practice weaponless defense?			Yes	□No
b. Were demonstrations of the following control techniques by officers observed: (1) Control holds.		(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	?		Yes	□No
(1) Control holds. ☐ Yes ☑ No (2) Punches. ☐ Yes ☑ No (3) Strikes. ☐ Yes ☑ No (4) Blocks. ☐ Yes ☑ No (5) Defensive kicks. ☐ Yes ☑ No (6) Defenses against grabs. ☐ Yes ☑ No (7) Defenses against weapons. ☐ Yes ☑ No (8) Ground defense and takedowns. ☐ Yes ☑ No		(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly famil	iarized themselves wit	h weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Punches. ☐ Yes ☑ No (3) Strikes. ☐ Yes ☑ No (4) Blocks. ☐ Yes ☑ No (5) Defensive kicks. ☐ Yes ☑ No (6) Defenses against grabs. ☐ Yes ☑ No (7) Defenses against weapons. ☐ Yes ☑ No (8) Ground defense and takedowns. ☐ Yes ☑ No	b.	We	ere demonstrations of the following control techniques by office	cers observed:			
(3) Strikes. Yes ✓ No (4) Blocks. Yes ✓ No (5) Defensive kicks. Yes ✓ No (6) Defenses against grabs. Yes ✓ No (7) Defenses against weapons. Yes ✓ No (8) Ground defense and takedowns. Yes ✓ No		(1)	Control holds.			☐ Yes	☑ No
(4) Blocks. Yes ✓ No (5) Defensive kicks. Yes ✓ No (6) Defenses against grabs. Yes ✓ No (7) Defenses against weapons. Yes ✓ No (8) Ground defense and takedowns. Yes ✓ No		(2)	Punches.			☐ Yes	☑ No
(5) Defensive kicks.		(3)	Strikes.			☐ Yes	☑ No
(6) Defenses against grabs.		(4)	Blocks.			☐Yes	☑ No
(7) Defenses against weapons. ☐ Yes ☑ No (8) Ground defense and takedowns. ☐ Yes ☑ No		(5)	Defensive kicks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
(8) Ground defense and takedowns. ☐ Yes ☑ No		(6)	Defenses against grabs.			☐ Yes	✓ No
		(7)	Defenses against weapons.		8	☐ Yes	☑ No
(9) Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles. ☐ Yes ☑ No		(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.		7	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			☐ Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHE	400	5 (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
C	. W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect v uncooperative?	vho is standing, kneeli	ng, prone, or	. ✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departme	ental policy on handcui	ffing?	✓ Yes	□No
d	. Aı	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offe	ensive weapons?	я	✓ Yes	□No
	(1	Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been re	viewed?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and t	horough searches bee	en observed?		□No
	(3)	Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of	the opposite sex as o	utlined in policy?	✓ Yes	□No
6. E	NFC	RCEMENT TACTICS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
а		sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper proceduthe five options of an enforcement stop?	ures which should be	followed during each	✓ Yes	□No
b	Do ap	o officers have a constant awareness of their personal safe prehending suspected or known criminals?	ety during enforcemen	t stops and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard		rs' ability to safely control	☐ Yes	☑ No
		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?			☐Yes	□No
C.		here evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied uations?	agencies to prepare	beat officers for hostage	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containmen having jurisdiction?	nt of the incident until r	elieved by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline	at all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detail egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and r			✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to dete hostage incidents?	ermine their knowledg	e of the CHP role in	☐Yes	□No
7. PI	JRSI	JITS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
a.	Are	all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the	U_Towneour	?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuil listed in policy?	its comply with enforce	ement guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Where personnliance is indicated were corrective	notions takon?		☐ Yes	□No
		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective	actions taken?			

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

CHP 453	3S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009				
(1) Are any written agreements on file?			☐ Yes	☑ No
(2	2) Is Division involved in the planning process?	(4)		☐ Yes	 ✓ No
(;	3) Does the Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored	to the specific nee	eds of the command?	☐ Yes	⊘ No
. FOR	CIBLE STOPS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED NO	CORRECTED	
а. А	are Area personnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forcit	ole stops?	14	✓ Yes	□No
(*	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
(2	2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance wit	th policy?		✓ Yes	□No
	(a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has c conducted?	orrective action be	en taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
. ROA	DBLOCKS	Yes	No REQUIRED	CORRECTED);
	las the Area worked with allied agencies to develop plans for ea f the hollow spike strip?	stablishing roadbloo	cks and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No
(1) Are strategic points and personnel assignments outlined?			Yes	✓ No
(2	2) Have the officers received instructions on the proper method	ds of establishing re	padblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
(3	Have interagency training sessions been conducted?			☐ Yes	✓ No
0. RAI	DIO FAMILIARIZATION	Yes -	NO NO	CORRECTE)
a. A	re officers familiar with all aspects of the radio control head?			.✓ Yes	□No
b. C	an officers demonstrate how to change the radio from their hor	ne Area to another	Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
c. C	an officers efficiently operate all emergency equipment from the	e radio head?		✓ Yes	□No
	a has done an outstanding job with keeping up with ETRS. The	ne Area needs one	more OST instructor, to fac	cilitate a one	to ten offic
structo	or ratio. The Area has not completed the annual OST day yet.				
n enfo	rcement stop was not made due to the limited number of perso	nnel.			
ection :	5: Physical methods of arrest		×		
ection 1	B, 1-9				٠
ue to th	ne limited number of available personnel and equipment this s	ection was not eval	luated.		

Destroy Previous Editions

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter.
Sonora	Central	17
Inspected by: C.J. Swanberg		Date: 09/28/2009

Page 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	Inspecti docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to do	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	I in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter at level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Total hours expende	d on the	Corrective Action Plan Included
☑ Division Level ☐ Command Level		inspection: 2.5		•
Executive Office Level				
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	ird to:		
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection: 17				
Inspector's Comments Regar	raing ii	nnovative Practices	S;	
Command Suggestions for S	tatewi	de Improvement:		
Inspector's Findings:			2	
The Area has done an outstan	idina id	ob with keeping up	with ETRS. Th	e Area needs one more OST
instructor, to facilitate a one to	ten of	ficer instructor ratio	o. The Area has	s not completed the annual OST
day yet.				
æ1				
Caramandar's Danners N	0.000	u au 🗆 Da Nat Car	NOUT (D. N 1 C	and shall decument basis for response
Commander's Response: 🗵	Concl	ILOL DO NOLCOL	ICUI (DO NOT CONC	cur shall document basis for response)

Sonora Area has scheduled Officer E. Dillon, ID 16812, to attend the Department's improved 40 hour Officer Safety Training Instructor Course at the CHP Academy. The Area is attempting to identify another officer to attend the next available Officer Safety Training Instructor Course.

Area will be conducting the annual Officer Safety Training certification for all uniformed employees on December 12, 2009, during the All Area Training Day.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Sonora	Central	17
Inspected by:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date:
C.J. Swanbe	erg	09/28/2009

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non cetc.)	oncurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised	, findings unchanged,
9		DANGER TOWNS AND THE
Required Action	WERE THE STATE OF	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline		
	9	
Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	St. FOR LT SAMRA	09 28 2009
	INSPECTORS SIGNATURE	9/28/2009
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur	Marian	12-1-51
Reviewer discussed this report with employee	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 9/28/2009

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

AREA		DIVISION	NUMBER
480	VISALIA	Central	CHP 17
EVALUA			DATE
D. To	rres		08/05/2009

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

(PE OF EVALUATION		SUSPENSE DATE			
✓ Formal Evaluation ☐ Informal Eval		COMMANDER'S REVIEW		DATE	
✓ Yes [· No BY	rrection Report	_ lh host	Billin		15.09
COMMAND INVOLVEMENT		YES	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
Does the command emphasize importance of injuries incurred by office.	tance of proper enforcer ers?	ment tactics to achieve the	e lowest possible	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Does the commander stress imp	ortance of proper enforc	ement tactics, including u	se of force?	✓ Yes	□No
(2) Does the safety record of the cor	mmand reflect an awarer	ness of proper tactics?		✓ Yes	□No
(3) Do the officers' CHP 100 and CHP 118s, Performance Appraisals, contain comments on officer safety?				✓ Yes	□No
b. Are the commander and lieutenants is proper use of force, and the correct u	nowledgeable of enforce se of safety equipment?	ement tactics, physical m	ethods of arrest,	✓ Yes	□No
(1) Is this knowledge applied proper	y in critiques of incidents	s involving officers and se	ergeants?	✓ Yes	□ No
(2) Do the captain and lieutenants m	aintain a minimum level	of enforcement skills?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Do they attend officer safety	training sessions?			✓ Yes	□No
(b) If they are not involved in off	icer safety, what are the	reasons?			
					26
TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION		EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED)
a. Do training records indicate formal tra	aining has been received	YES	No	✓ Yes	□No
Do records reflect annual certifications, physical methods of arrest certifications been recorded for:	ation of traffic officers an	nd sergeants for proficience	cy in enforcement f force)? Have	8	
(a) Searching techniques.				✓ Yes	□No
(b) Handcuffing.				✓ Yes	□No
(c) Use of safety equipment.			ê	✓ Yes	□No
(d) Suspect control.				✓ Yes	□No
(e) High risk and felony stops.				✓ Yes	□No
(f) Hostage control.				✓ Yes	□ No
() Disconstanting				✓ Yes	□No
(g) Prisoner transportation:				<u> </u>	

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

(a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers at the command dedicating enough time toward training (a) Do training records reflect certifications for officers at the control of the contr	and sergeants are current re timely recertification investigations, personnent tactics are being usurposes?	of all officers el complaints, and sed in the Area? nel understand when,	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 (b) Is there an established follow-up procedure to assurand sergeants? Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inploy general observations to determine if proper enforcem Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training properties. Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain and what level of force, is justified? (a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and obeing made? 	re timely recertification investigations, personnent tactics are being usurposes? If all uniformed personnent all uniformed personnent investigations in the second secon	of all officers el complaints, and sed in the Area? nel understand when,	✓ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
and sergeants? Area supervisors review CHP 121s, CHP 121As, pursuit inploy general observations to determine if proper enforcem Are well-handled incidents recorded for future training put in the control of the cont	investigations, personn nent tactics are being us urposes? if all uniformed personi	el complaints, and sed in the Area? nel understand when,	✓ Yes ✓ Yes	□ No
nploy general observations to determine if proper enforcements of the well-handled incidents recorded for future training positions. Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain and what level of force, is justified? (a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and obeing made?	nent tactics are being usurposes? if all uniformed personi	sed in the Area?	✓ Yes	□ No
Are use of force situations closely reviewed to ascertain and what level of force, is justified? (a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and obeing made?	if all uniformed personi			
and what level of force, is justified? (a) Does an examination of CHP 100, CHP 118s, and obeing made?			✓ Yes	□No
being made?	citizen complaints indica	ate a through review is		
(b) Do Area supervisors notify those officers who are no			✓ Yes	□No
is made available?	ot proficient and ensure	e refresher training	✓ Yes	□No
refresher training required prior to certification?			☐ Yes	☑ No
Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplis	sh certification indicated	d on the CHP 270?	✓ Yes	□No
(a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent?			☐ Yes	✓ No
(b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assu categories?	re thorough and continu	uous proficiency in all	√ Yes	□No
es the command have an adequate number of instructors	?		✓ Yes	□No
Is instructor proficiency maintained?			✓ Yes	□No
Has an individual been given responsibility for the progra	am?		✓ Yes	□No
(a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of	proficiency is maintaine	d?	✓ Yes	□No
Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities an	d equipment available	for officer safety training?	✓ Yes	□No
What is the quality and quantity of the training being give	en? The Area has not	completed the OST day for	2009. The A	Area training
coordinator is attending the instructor course in 8/2009	and will be completing	g the OST days soon after.		
	Ē			
Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received property	er training?		✓ Yes	□No
TY EQUIPMENT	EVALUATED	NO REQUIRED	CORRECTED	,
Dleoresin Capsicum (OC) spray (pepper spray) carried by ile on duty, in uniform?	10-1900//	el, captain and below,	✓ Yes	□No
Is OC spray used when the need is indicated? Are nota is utilized to subdue a subject?	tions made on booking	sheets when OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
When an officer is assaulted and an injury occurs, are the spray on the CHP 121?	ne supervisors noting th	ne use/nonuse of OC	✓ Yes	□No
Are individuals who are exposed to OC spray decontam water within 30 minutes?	inated by flushing the a	affected area with clear	✓ Yes	□No
T)	efresher training required prior to certification? Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplis (a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent? (b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assu categories? es the command have an adequate number of instructors Is instructor proficiency maintained? Has an individual been given responsibility for the programation of the programatic	Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated (a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent? (b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continucategories? (c) It is instructor proficiency maintained? Has an individual been given responsibility for the program? (a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained. Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available. What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? The Area has not coordinator is attending the instructor course in 8/2009 and will be completing. Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training? Y EQUIPMENT EVALUATED Yes	Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270? (a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent? (b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories? Is the command have an adequate number of instructors? Is instructor proficiency maintained? Has an individual been given responsibility for the program? (a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained? Are there adequate and properly maintained facilities and equipment available for officer safety training? What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? The Area has not completed the OST day for coordinator is attending the instructor course in 8/2009 and will be completing the OST days soon after. Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training? YEQUIPMENT EVALUATED Yes No ACTION REQUIRED No Levaluated Yes No Levaluated No ACTION REQUIRED No Levaluated No Le	Are the number of training required prior to certification? Are the number of training hours necessary to accomplish certification indicated on the CHP 270? Yes (a) Is any pattern of training weakness apparent? (b) Have necessary remedial steps been taken to assure thorough and continuous proficiency in all categories? Is instructor proficiency maintained? Yes Is instructor proficiency maintained? Yes (a) Does that individual been given responsibility for the program? (a) Does that individual ensure the quality and level of proficiency is maintained? Yes What is the quality and quantity of the training being given? The Area has not completed the OST day for 2009. The Accordinator is attending the instructor course in 8/2009 and will be completing the OST days soon after. Have the supervisor and his/her alternate received proper training? Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Ye

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION OFFICER SAFETY

-						
		(a) Do Area patrol cars carry at least two 500 mil. bottles of	f saline solution?		✓ Yes	□No
		(b) Are officers/sergeants familiar with the decontamination	and first-aid procedure?	,	✓ Yes	□No
k	. Ar	e officers/sergeants familiar with the function of their duty hols	ters?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Can officers/sergeants draw and fire their weapon, re-holste the safety strap with one hand?	er and without looking at t	the holster, fasten	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Can officers and sergeants draw and fire their weapons with	in one and a half second	ds, using one hand?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is there personal confirmation by the testing officer that all w related exercises?	veapons are unloaded pr	ior to holster-	✓ Yes	□No
C	. Ar	e officers/sergeants proficient in reloading their weapons?			✓ Yes	□No
d	. Do officers/sergeants routinely practice with their batons?			✓ Yes	□No	
	(1)	Do officers/sergeants carry their batons on all enforcement	stops?	ž	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2)	Can officers/sergeants successfully demonstrate approved I	paton techniques?		✓ Yes	□No
е	. Do	all uniformed personnel wear body armor?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Were required reports submitted to Supply Services Unit, pearmor was struck by a bullet or other penetrating type instruc		s where body	☐ Yes	□No
		(a) If so, did the involved officer receive a complete physical	al examination?	5	☐ Yes	□No
f.		e holsters, ammunition, magazines, magazine pouches, hand ojectors inspected in conjunction with the annual performance		I OC spray	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do CHP 311 forms indicate compliance?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Were deficiencies corrected within 30 days of the inspection	?	¥	✓ Yes	□No
4. F	IREA	RMS	Yes Yes	Yes	CORRECTE	0
a	На	s the requirement for quarterly review of policy regulating disc	charge of firearms been	compiled with?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Do officers thoroughly understand the policy?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Do incidents involving firearms show proper understand	ling of the policy?		✓ Yes	□No
b.	Are	e shoots conducted as required by policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Have steps been taken to correct training deficiencies?			√ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are weapons training and maintenance records readily avail	able? Current?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Do training records show qualification with all authorized we	apons, day/night shoots	, etc.?	✓ Yes	□No
C.	Do	es the Area have a range officer?		3.	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Has the officer completed Academy training for range officer	rs?		✓ Yes	□ No
	(2)	Does the officer supervise all shoots?			✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Is the officer well-organized in his/her training?	¥I		✓ Yes	□ No
	(4)	Is there a designated alternate to the range officer?			✓ Yes	□No
		(a) Has that officer received Academy training?			✓ Yes	□No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

	7. II. 1000 (1. 101) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	d. Are range facilities adequate for pistol, rifle, shotgun and night shoots?	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) If not, has alternate training been established and plans developed to obtain a	adequate facilities?	□No
	(a) Do plans follow instructions for range contract renegotiations?	☐Yes	□No
	(b) Have future range needs been considered?	Yes	□No
€	e. Is an effective and efficient inventory process for shotguns, rifles, and ammunition	in place? ☑ Yes	□No
	(1) Have shotguns been inventoried as required?	☑ Yes	□No
	(a) Are all shotguns accounted for?	✓ Yes	□ No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c) Are shotguns fired annually to ensure operable condition?	√ Yes	□No
	(2) Have tactical rifles been inventoried as required?		□No
	(a) Are all tactical rifles accounted for?		□No
	(b) Is maintenance/cleaning done as required?	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(c) Is there adequate storage when the weapons are not being carried by on	n-duty officers?	□No
	(d) Is there an effective method for assignment and control?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Is there a procedure in place to periodically audit ammunition? Are the follow process taken?	ving steps in the audit ☑ Yes	□No
	(a) Beginning inventory determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(b) Has the total amount of ammunition ordered by requisition as well as retu been determined?	urned (unused) ammunition ☑ Yes	□No
	(c) Has the total rounds issued per ammunition records been determined?	✓ Yes	□No
	(d) Has a physical inventory of ammunition been taken?	✓ Yes	□No
	(e) Has the physical count been compared to the balance on hand according	g to the inventory record?	□No
	(f) Have rounds issued per training records been compared to rounds fired p	per shooting rosters?	□No
	(g) Has the mathematical accuracy of the inventory records been tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(h) When ammunition orders are received from Supply Services Unit, is the quantities checked against the packing/shipping documents, exceptions acknowledged immediately upon delivery?	merchandise inspected, noted, and receipt ☑ Yes	. □ No
f.	f. Is policy adhered to requiring firearms not to be drawn, loaded, or unloaded excep	pt in the clearing tube?	□ No
	(1) Does location of the clearing tube(s) provide safety to personnel in or about t accidental discharge?	the office in the event of an ☑ Yes	i □ No
g	g. Are weapons training records maintained as required per policy? Has record reliably testing the accuracy of the following recorded information?	ability been determined ☑ Yes	s 🗌 No
	(1) Do the dates recorded on the various records correspond to the actual date t	training was conducted?	s □ No
	(2) Do training dates correspond to the activity information on the employee's Ch	HP 415?	s 🗌 No

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

	(3	Do training dates closely correspond to the dates ammuniti records)?	g (per inventory	✓ Yes	□No	
	_ (4	Was ammunition issued for training (per inventory records) (per the shooting roster)?	I amount expended	✓ Yes	□No	
		(a) Once done, was the disposition of any unused ammun	ition verified for those tra	ining days tested?	✓ Yes	□No
	(5	Are records kept updated as training takes place?			✓ Yes	□No
	(6	Is training recorded on the employee's CHP 270 and in ETR	RS?	X.	✓ Yes	□No
	(7)	Is required information recorded in accordance with establish	shed guidelines and instr	uctions?	✓ Yes	□No
	(8)	Is a roster maintained for each shoot which includes all per date, etc.)?	tinent information (type o	f shoot, scores,	✓ Yes	□ No
ł	n. Is inv	there a procedure in place which ensures the person process rolved with the receiving and recording of ammunition inventor	ing the ammunition requiry?	isition is not	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Is a similar procedure in place which ensures the person re involved with handling and recording ammunition?	✓ Yes	□No		
	(2)	2) Is access to the ammunition storage and inventory records limited to the ammunition officer and supervisor or backup employee?				□No
i.	If A	Area has a resident post (RP), what procedures are used to ensure weapons training of RP officers? N/A				
	(1)	If RP handles ammunition, are proper accountability proced	☐ Yes	□No		
j.	Are	re required inspections conducted in conjunction with the annual CHP 118?				□No
	(1)	(1) Is a second inspection of the primary firearm conducted every six months?				☑ No
5. P	HYS	CAL METHODS OF ARREST	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
а	. Do	officers practice weaponless defense?			✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Are officers familiar with the opponent's five weakest points	?		✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Have officers with previous assault injuries thoroughly famil	iarized themselves with v	weaponless defense?	✓ Yes	□No
b.	We	re demonstrations of the following control techniques by office	ers observed:		W.	
	(1)	Control holds.			☐Yes	✓ No
	(2)	Punches.			☐Yes	✓ No
	(3)	Strikes.			☐Yes	☑ No
	(4)	Blocks.			☐Yes	☑ No
	(5)	Defensive kicks.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(6)	Defenses against grabs.	70		☐Yes	☑ No
	(7)	Defenses against weapons.	U,		☐Yes	☑ No
	(8)	Ground defense and takedowns.			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(9)	Placing and removing suspects into and from vehicles.			Yes	☑ No

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **OFFICER SAFETY**

		2 (1.64. 5 55) 51. 1 555				
	c. W	ere observations of practical handcuffing techniques made?	<u>N</u> I		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Can officers successfully apply handcuffs to a suspect who uncooperative?	is standing, kneeling, pr	one, or	✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Are all uniformed personnel knowledgeable of departmental	I policy on handcuffing?		✓ Yes	□No
	d. A	re all persons subjected to physical arrest searched for offensi	ve weapons?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Has the local jail's experience with CHP arrests been review	ved?		☐ Yes	☑ No
	(2) Has a practical demonstration of preliminary frisks and thore	ough searches been obs	erved?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3	(3) Do all officers know guidelines pertaining to searches of the opposite sex as outlined in policy?		√ Yes	□No	
6.	ENFC	PRCEMENT TACTICS	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
		o sergeants and officers have knowledge of proper procedures the five options of an enforcement stop?	s which should be follow	ed during each	✓ Yes	□No
9		o officers have a constant awareness of their personal safety of prehending suspected or known criminals?	during enforcement stops	s and when	✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Were demonstrations of an enforcement stop observed which show the officers' ability to safely control the situation at all times regardless of the level of hazard presented?				☐ Yes	☑ No
-		(a) Is the violator stop effectively made?			☐ Yes	□No
		(b) Is the violator completely controlled?			☐ Yes	□No
		(c) Is the prisoner properly prepared for transportation?	;		☐ Yes	□No
C	c. Is there evidence of pre-planning and coordination with allied agencies to prepare beat officers for hostage situations?				☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1)	Do officers understand their role is limited to containment of having jurisdiction?	the incident until relieve	ed by the authority	✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	Are officers aware of the need to maintain fire discipline at a	all times?		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Are officers knowledgeable of their responsibility to detain p egress to the scene, evacuate the area if required, and rend	otential witnesses, conti der necessary medical a	rol ingress and id?	✓ Yes	□No
	(4)	Were various officers and supervisors questioned to determ hostage incidents?	ine their knowledge of the	ne CHP role in	✓ Yes	□No
7. F	URS	UITS	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTE	D
а	. Are	e all uniformed personnel well-versed in policy regarding the c	conduct of pursuits?	-11	✓ Yes	□No
	(1)	Number of units?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2)	When to discontinue?	2		✓ Yes	□No
	(3)	Were pursuit critiques checked to determine if the pursuits of listed in policy?	comply with enforcemen	t guidelines	✓ Yes	□No
-		(a) Where noncompliance is indicated, were corrective acti	ions taken?		✓ Yes	□No
b		es the Area have written guidelines or plans to ensure proper suits?	coordination with allied	agencies during	☐Yes	☑ No
	ī					

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION

OFFICER SAFETY

CHP 453S (Rev. 6-06) OPI 009

	(1) Are any	written agreements on file?		✓ Yes	□No	
	(2) Is Divis	on involved in the planning process?			☐ Yes	☑ No
	(3) Does th	e Area have and use a pursuit training guide tailored	d to the specific ne	eds of the command?	☐ Yes	☑ No
8. F	ORCIBLE ST	OPS	Yes Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED	
a.	Are Area pe	rsonnel knowledgeable regarding the policy on forci	ble stops?		✓ Yes	□No
	(1) Does th	Does the Area follow departmental policy?			✓ Yes	□No
	(2) Have forcible stop reports been reviewed for compliance with policy?				✓ Yes	□No
 (a) If forcible stop policy has not been complied with, has correconducted? 				een taken or training	✓ Yes	□No
0 P	DADBLOCKS		EVALUATED	ACTION REQUIRED	CORRECTED	
3. K	DADBLOCKS	REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Yes	No		
a.	Has the Are	a worked with allied agencies to develop plans for e	stablishing roadblo	ocks and deployment	☐ Yes	☑ No
	(1) Are stra	tegic points and personnel assignments outlined?			☐ Yes	✓ No
	(2) Have th	e officers received instructions on the proper metho	ds of establishing	roadblocks?	✓ Yes	□No
	(3) Have in	eragency training sessions been conducted?			☐Yes	✓ No
10. F	ADIO FAMIL	IARIZATION	Yes	ACTION REQUIRED No	CORRECTED)
a.	Are officers t	amiliar with all aspects of the radio control head?			✓ Yes	□No
b.	Can officers	demonstrate how to change the radio from their ho	me Area to anothe	r Area/Division?	✓ Yes	□No
c.	Can officers	efficiently operate all emergency equipment from th	e radio head?		✓ Yes	□No

3(d) and 3(d)(1) - Officers carry the ASP.

4(e)(1)(b) - Shotgun inspections are behind.

4(e)(2)(b) - Rifle inspections are behind.

4(j)(1) - Primary firearm 6 month field strips are behind.

5(b) and 6(b)- Not observed due to a lack of available personnel for inspection.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
Visalia	Central	17	
Inspected by:		Date:	
D Torres 1	7497	8/5/2009	

Page 1 of 3

	Inspections docume	on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to docu	rd to:" enter the nex ment innovative pra	
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☑ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 3.5		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:		
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection: 17				
Inspector's Comments Regar	rding Ir	nnovative Practices:		
	7.			K.
		e.		
		er e		
				3
Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement:				

None.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:		
Visalia	Central	17		
Inspected by:		Date:		
D. Torres, 17497		8/5/2009		

Inoncotor's Findings	

Inspector's Findings:

The Area is behind on its quarterly shotgun and rifle inspections and its primary firearm "field strip" inspections. The training coordinator has been recently changed and the new training coordinator has recognized the deficiency in the weapons inspections and has taken steps to remedy the problem.

The Area has a surplus of shotguns. It may be beneficial to the Area to relinquish a portion of their shotguns in order to alleviate the burden of having to inspect each shotgun on a quarterly basis.

The Area is inspecting all firearms on an annual basis.

Commander's Response		(Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
----------------------	--	---

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Visalia	Central	17
Inspected by:	Date:	
D. Torres, 17497		8/5/2009

		AND STREET OF THE		
Required Action				
Corrective Action Plan/	Γimeline			

When Area was made aware of the deficiency regarding weapons inspections immediate corrective action was taken. All shotguns and rifles were inspected including "field strip" inspections of primary firearms. The new Area Training Coordinator has developed a suspense system to ensure timely and on-going inspections of all Area firearms.

Area is authorized 30 shotguns and has 31 in its inventory. Area has coordinated with the Weapons Control Unit (WCU) to transfer the excess shotgun from its inventory.

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	10. 15-09
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	10/29/04
☐ Reviewer discussed this report with employee ☐ Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE 12 4 09